

Recent Facts about Photovoltaics in Germany

Last update: **April 22, 2016**

Compiled by
Dr. Harry Wirth
Division Director Photovoltaic Modules, Systems and Reliability
Fraunhofer ISE

Contact:
Karin Schneider
Press and Public Relations
Phone +49 761 4588-5147
Fax +49 761 4588-9147
Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE
Heidenhofstrasse 2
79110 Freiburg
Germany
info@ise.fraunhofer.de

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. What purpose does this guide serve? | 5 |
| 2. Are we reaching our annual capacity target?..... | 5 |
| 3. Does PV already contribute significantly to the power supply? | 5 |
| 4. Is PV power too expensive? | 7 |
| 4.1 Levelized Cost of Energy..... | 8 |
| 4.2 Feed-in Tariff | 10 |
| 4.3 Total Remunerations Paid | 13 |
| 4.4 Pricing on the energy exchange and the merit order effect | 13 |
| 4.5 Determining the Differential Costs | 15 |
| 4.6 Privileged Electricity Consumers..... | 16 |
| 4.7 EEG Surcharge | 17 |
| 5. Subventions and Electricity Prices | 21 |
| 5.1 Is PV power subsidized?..... | 21 |
| 5.2 Are fossil fuel and nuclear energy production subsidized? | 22 |
| 5.3 Do tenants subsidize well-positioned home owners?..... | 24 |
| 5.4 Does PV power generation make electricity more expensive for householders? 24 | |
| 5.5 Does PV increase the electricity price for industry?..... | 26 |
| 6. Are we exporting large amounts of PV power to other European nations?27 | |
| 7. Can new PV plants bring reasonable rates of return? | 28 |
| 8. Does installing PV only create jobs in Asia? | 30 |
| 9. Are large power plant operators refusing to install PV systems?..... | 32 |
| 10. Is PV research taking up high levels of funding? | 35 |
| 11. Does PV power overload our present energy system?..... | 36 |
| 11.1 Transmission and distribution | 36 |
| 11.2 Volatility | 37 |
| 11.2.1 Solar power production is predictable | 37 |
| 11.2.2 Peak production is significantly lower than installed capacity | 37 |
| 11.2.3 Solar and wind energy complement each other | 38 |
| 11.3 Controllability..... | 40 |
| 11.4 Conflicts with slow-response fossil and nuclear power plants..... | 40 |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----------|
| 11.5 | Does the expansion of PV have to wait for more storage? | 41 |
| 12. | <i>Does the manufacture of PV modules consume a lot of energy?.....</i> | <i>42</i> |
| 13. | <i>Does new PV capacity compete with food production for land?</i> | <i>42</i> |
| 14. | <i>Are PV plants in Germany efficient?</i> | <i>42</i> |
| 14.1 | Do PV plants degrade?..... | 44 |
| 14.2 | Can PV modules become soiled? | 45 |
| 14.3 | Do PV plants often operate at full capacity? | 45 |
| 15. | <i>Does PV make relevant contributions to climate protection?.....</i> | <i>47</i> |
| 15.1 | Do anthropogenic CO ₂ emissions danger the climate?..... | 47 |
| 15.2 | Does PV make a significant contribution to reducing the CO ₂ emissions? | 49 |
| 15.3 | In addition to CO ₂ are there other environmentally harmful gases released during the production of PV? | 51 |
| 16. | <i>Are PV systems capable of replacing fossil fuel and nuclear power plants? 52</i> | |
| 17. | <i>Are we capable of covering a significant proportion of our energy demand with PV power?</i> | <i>53</i> |
| 17.1 | Energy scenarios..... | 55 |
| 17.2 | Energy demand and supply | 58 |
| 17.3 | Compensatory measures..... | 64 |
| 17.3.1 | Keeping PV power production constant | 65 |
| 17.3.2 | Complementary operation of adjustable power plants | 66 |
| 17.3.3 | Decreasing energy consumption | 67 |
| 17.3.4 | Adapting consumption habits | 67 |
| 17.3.5 | Balanced expansion of PV and wind power capacities | 68 |
| 17.3.6 | Grid expansion..... | 69 |
| 17.3.7 | Switching consumers with electric storage to electrically operable systems | 70 |
| 17.3.8 | Energy storage..... | 71 |
| 18. | <i>Do PV modules contain toxic substances?.....</i> | <i>73</i> |
| 18.1 | Wafer-based modules | 73 |
| 18.2 | Thin-film modules | 73 |
| 18.3 | Solar glass..... | 74 |
| 18.4 | Take-back schemes and recycling | 74 |
| 19. | <i>Are there enough raw materials available for PV production?</i> | <i>74</i> |
| 19.1 | Wafer-based modules | 74 |
| 19.2 | Thin-film modules | 74 |
| 20. | <i>Do PV plants increase the risk of fire?</i> | <i>75</i> |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 20.1 | Can defective PV plants cause a fire? | 75 |
| 20.2 | Do PV plants pose a danger to firefighters? | 76 |
| 20.3 | Do PV modules prevent firefighters from extinguishing fires externally from the roof?..... | 76 |
| 20.4 | Are toxic emissions released when PV modules burn? | 76 |
| 21. | <i>Appendix: Terminology</i> | 78 |
| 21.1 | EEG surcharge..... | 78 |
| 21.2 | Module efficiency..... | 79 |
| 21.3 | Rated power of a PV power plant..... | 79 |
| 21.4 | Specific yield..... | 79 |
| 21.5 | System efficiency..... | 79 |
| 21.6 | Performance ratio..... | 79 |
| 21.7 | Base load, intermediate load, peak load, grid load and residual load | 80 |
| 21.8 | Gross and nets power consumption..... | 80 |
| 21.9 | External costs [DLR1]..... | 81 |
| 22. | <i>Appendix: Conversion tables [EEBW]</i> | 82 |
| 23. | <i>Appendix: Abbreviations.....</i> | 83 |
| 24. | <i>Appendix: Sources.....</i> | 83 |
| 25. | <i>Appendix: Figures</i> | 89 |

1. What purpose does this guide serve?

Germany is leaving the fossil-nuclear age behind, paving the way for photovoltaics (PV) to play a significant role in a future shaped by sustainable power production. This compilation of current facts, figures and findings is regularly updated. It aims to help in creating an overall assessment of PV growth in Germany.

2. Are we reaching our annual capacity target?

No.

In 2015 Germany installed about **1.3 GW** new PV capacity, which corresponds to about 2% of new installments worldwide. In the German Renewable Energy Act 2014 [EEG], the federal government has set down an annual target of **2.5 GW**. In order to meet most of or all of our energy demand with renewables, then a total of approx. 200 GW PV capacity is to be installed by 2050 [ISE5, IWES2]. To reach this amount by 2050, an average of **4-5 GW** PV must be installed annually. With time, older PV systems must be increasingly replaced. As yet, replacements have not played a large role. When the targeted capacity of 200 GW PV has finally been reached, estimates show that **6-7 GW** PV will have to be replaced per year.

3. Does PV already contribute significantly to the power supply?

Yes.

According to estimates, PV-generated power amounted to **38.5 TWh** [AGEB5] and covered approximately **7.5 percent** of Germany's net electricity consumption (final energy, see section 21.8) in 2015. Renewable energy as a whole (RE) accounted for ca. **38 percent** of net electricity consumption, while the fraction of PV and total RE in Germany's gross electricity consumption stood at ca. **6.4 percent** and **32.5 percent** respectively. On sunny weekdays, PV power can cover 35 percent of the momentary electricity demand. On weekends and holidays the coverage rate of PV can reach 50 percent. At the end of 2015, the total nominal PV power installed in Germany was ca. **40 GW**, distributed over **1.5 million** power plants [BSW]. With this figure, the installed PV capacity exceeds that of all other types of power plants in Germany.




Figure 1: Percentage renewable energy in net electricity consumption (final energy) for Germany 2005-2015; data from [BMWi1], [AGEB5]

The strong momentum in PV seen between 2010 and 2012 is due to the fact that the minimum targets set down by the German government for RE are realistic (Figure 2). As a result, PV is not only making a significant contribution to Germany's power supply, but is also supporting the energy transformation. However, the installation of offshore wind and its power transmission to the mainland as well as the installation of new long-distance power lines within Germany are behind schedule. The EEG-Novelle 2014 reduced the 2020 installation target for off-shore wind from its original target of 10 GW down to 6.5 GW.




Figure 2: Percentage of RE in German gross power consumption and minimum targets set by the German government [BDEW2].

4. Is PV power too expensive?

It depends on how you look at it.

In Germany, the internal costs of PV electricity are higher than for electricity generated from conventional power plants. PV power, as an important mainstay of the energy transformation, is supported by the Renewable Energy Sources Act (Erneuerbare-Energien-Gesetz, EEG). It enables plant operators to run their installations profitably at a guaranteed rate of purchase and shall encourage investments in the energy transformation. The aim of the Renewable Energy Source Act is to effect a continual reduction in the cost of electricity generation from renewables by creating a market for RE systems. (see section 4.1).

It is difficult to compare the costs of PV electricity with fossil-nuclear electricity since their external costs are ignored (see section 21.9, [DLR1], [FÖS1], [FÖS2]). For example, an emissions trading system has been implemented EU-wide to make CO₂ emissions more expensive, however, trading nearly came to a stop due to an overabundance of available certificates. Another example is the costs of dismantling nuclear power plants which are shut down. These costs are most probably not covered by the operator's reserves. Another external cost factor is the unforeseeable costs involved in creating permanent storage sites for nuclear waste.

Increasing PV capacity is only one of the costs in Germany's energy transformation. For a long time, the costs associated with PV expansion stood in the forefront of the discussions. Over the past few years, PV and wind have an established place in Germany's energy supply system, bringing new costs to the fore. Besides the costs for electricity generation, costs in the following areas are becoming increasingly significant:

- Improvements and modifications in the electricity grid (especially for wind)
- Dismantling and modification of fossil-nuclear power plants (With the phase-out of nuclear energy and the necessary drop out of brown coal over time, the two cheapest suppliers in the energy mix, according to today's calculations, are leaving the market. Their slow back out in conjunction with the expansion of RE installations and stagnating energy consumption reduces the rate of utilization of natural gas plants, leading to higher electricity generation costs (LCOE) from gas.)
- The construction of more efficient, multi-functional and fast-response power plants, especially CHP based (whose LCOE lies above the current market price of electricity).
- Build up storage and converter capacities for grid-stabilization (stationary batteries and electric mobility, pumped storage, heat pumps, heat storage, power-to-go)

These costs are not caused by PV expansion but rather are associated with the normal progression of the energy transformation. All energy consumers for whom a long-term sustainable energy supply must be created are, in turn, responsible for the costs of its realization.

4.1 Levelized Cost of Energy

The levelized cost of energy (LCOE) for a PV power plant is the ratio between the total costs of the plant (€) and its total electricity production (kWh) over its economic lifetime. The LCOE for PV power plants [ISE1] is based primarily on:

1. purchase investments to construct and install the plant
2. financing conditions (return on investment, interest, plant lifetime)
3. operating costs over the lifetime of the plant (insurance, maintenance, repairs)
4. irradiance availability
5. lifetime and the annual degradation of the power plant

Thanks to technological progress, the learning curve and economies-of-scale, the investment costs for PV power plants, which make up the greatest outlay, have fallen an average of **14 percent** per year – in all, almost 75 % since 2006. Figure 3 shows the price development since 2006 for rooftop installations between 10 kW_p to 100 kW_p in Germany.




Figure 3: Average end customer price (net system price) for installed rooftop systems with rated nominal power from 10 - 100 kWp, data from BSW, plotted by PSE AG.

Module costs are responsible for about fifty percent of the total investment costs for PV power plants of this size. This percentage increases for larger power plants. The price development of PV modules follows a so-called “price learning curve,” in which doubling the total capacity installed causes prices to fall by a constant percentage. Figure 4 shows the global prices adjusted for inflation and calculated in euros in line with the 2013 exchange. At the end of 2015, the cumulative installed PV capacity worldwide reached approximately **245 GW**. Provided that significant progress can continue to be made in product development and manufacturing processes, prices are expected to keep dropping in accordance with this rule.




Figure 4: Historical price development of PV modules (PSE AG/Fraunhofer ISE, data from: Strategies Unlimited/Navigant Consulting/EuPD). The straight line shows the price development trend.

The prices include all market-relevant technologies in the fields of crystalline silicon and thin-film technology. The trend indicates that doubling the cumulative installed PV capacity results in a price reduction of 23 percent. In Germany the module prices are somewhat higher, due to anti-dumping measures of the European Commission. The licensing round of the Federal Network Agency (see following section) gives a benchmark for the electricity generation costs for small open-field PV systems (< 10 MW).

4.2 Feed-in Tariff

The German energy transformation has required and will continue to require large investments in solar and wind capacity. At today's prices (see section 5.1) a multi-megawatt PV power plant installed today, let alone a small rooftop PV installation, cannot compete with the electricity generation costs (LCOE) of older fossil fuel and nuclear power plants – not to mention those plants that have already been written off. A PV power plant installed today is also not able to compete with a PV plant installed in the future. Both generate electricity during the daytime yet the future plant will be constructed at lower specific investment costs (€/Wp) and therefore most probably at lower electricity generation costs (€/kWh).

To encourage investment in spite of this and to uphold the defined growth corridor of 2.4 to 2.6 GW PV per year, the Renewable Energy Act 2014 sets fixed values for the purchase and remuneration of PV electricity as well as levies on self-consumption [EEG]. Only new systems that are smaller than a certain size are now guaranteed a fixed feed-in tariff over a twenty year period. As of 2016, systems with nominal power less than 100 kW still qualify for the feed-in tariff, while systems over 100 kW must directly market the electricity generated. As of September 1, 2015, financial compensation for electricity produced by open-space (ground-mounted) PV systems is granted to those who participate and are chosen in an auction of the Federal Network Agency. Additionally, self-consumed electricity from new PV systems over 10 kW is subject to an increasingly larger percent of the EEG-tariff (see section 4.7).




Figure 5: Feed-in tariff for PV power as a function of commissioning date, average PV power remuneration for all installed systems [BDEW2], full costs for the fossil-nuclear power production [IFNE], electricity prices from [BMWi1] with some estimates.

The feed-in tariff for roof systems that began operation in May 2016 is up to **12.31 €-cts/kWh** for the next twenty years, independent of their size. For free-standing systems, the value of the feed-in tariff is set by the licensing agreement. The licensing round of the Federal Network Agency with cutoff date of April 1, 2016 had a mean feed-in tariff value of 7.41 €-cts/kWh for small free-standing PV systems (<10 MW). Free-standing PV systems larger than 10 MW are no longer supported through the EEG.

To compare, electricity from off-shore wind was compensated with up to 19.4 €-cts/kWh (initial remuneration incl. premium). Other costs and risks arise from the off-shore liability law. For electricity from onshore wind systems put into operation in 2015, an initial remuneration of 8.9 cts/kWh is given, while geothermal electricity receives 25.2 €-cts/kWh.

In England, the negotiated strike price for the planned nuclear plant Hinkley Point C translates essentially to a feed-in tariff of 12.6 €-cts/kWh plus inflationary adjustment for a period of 35 years. The plant is planned to start operation in 2025.

The EEG feed-in tariff for PV electricity is decreasing faster than for any other renewable energy technology. In 2011 newly installed, large-scale plants already achieved grid parity. Since then the feed-in tariff they receive lies appreciably below the (gross) value for household electricity. Since the beginning of 2012, newly installed, small rooftop installations have also reached grid parity.

Grid parity for these installations marks a crucial milestone that was almost utopian just ten years ago during the early phase of the EEG, but it should not suggest any comparison of the levelized cost of energy, or LCOE. If one assumes constantly rising electricity costs, grid parity was achieved in 2013 for many industry customers.

The user who consumes self-generated electricity can by no means consider the difference between the gross electricity price (electricity from the grid) and the EEG feed-in tariff (estimated value of the electricity generation costs) as profit. For one, self-consumption increases the fixed costs per kilowatt-hour withdrawn. Considering that the same connection costs are distributed over a smaller amount of withdrawn electricity, the electricity purchased per kWh becomes more expensive. Also, the electricity withdrawn from a PV system for self-consumption may be subject to extra taxes and charges. These can reach appreciable values, depending on the tax classification of the system [SFV].

July 1, 2013 was an important date for grid parity. On this day, the remuneration for the electricity generated from newly installed free-standing PV systems reaches a level close to the estimated full costs for fossil-nuclear electricity [IFNE].

In 2014 the average EEG feed-in tariff for PV power was ca. **33 €-cts/kWh** [BDEW2]. This average value represents the actual payables and includes the higher remuneration rates of older installations. This value is, however, irrelevant for determining the future of PV expansion in Germany. Only the current EEG feed-in tariff, applying to new installations, is important. It follows that the lower the amount of new (and increasingly inexpensive) PV plants installed, the slower the average EEG feed-in tariff will decrease.

After 2020, the feed-in tariff will gradually expire for the oldest plants, as their 20-year payment period begins to expire. However, these plants will continue to supply power at levelized costs that undercut those of all other fossil fuel and renewable energy sources, due to low operating costs and zero fuel costs. The older installations that today bring up the average feed-in tariff will most probably help to cut overall costs after 2020.

Due to the extreme drop in the feed-in tariff and the increasing amount of limitations enacted over the past few years on new installations, grid feed-in and self-consumption, the number of new PV installations in Germany has substantially declined, (for example,

by 55 percent in 2013). In the same year, however, new PV installations increased worldwide by almost 20 percent.

Up to April 2012, the value of the feed-in tariff given to plant operators for PV electricity decreased in irregular time steps, leading to unpredictable growth patterns in PV capacity. This problem was solved by implementing a monthly adjustment scheme.

4.3 Total Remunerations Paid

As stipulated in the EEG, the total costs for the remuneration of PV feed-in are determined each year by the transmission system operators (Figure 6). In 2014 the total costs amounted to 10.2 billion euros. The already radical reduction in feed-in rates and system size in addition to the phase out of the EEG feed-in tariff for new PV systems at a threshold of 52 GW capacity ensures that total remunerations paid for PV are limited to 10 -11 billion euros per year [ÜNB]. Further PV expansion within the existing EEG will only moderately increase total remunerations (Figure 6). Additional measures to throttle PV expansion will not lead to a decrease in the total remuneration. Such a measure would, however, cause a slowdown in the construction of very inexpensive PV systems.




Figure 6: PV expansion and total feed-in tariff, (Data from [BMWi1]; Annual figures and current prognosis from the German grid operators [ÜNB].

4.4 Pricing on the energy exchange and the merit order effect

To estimate sales revenues from PV electricity, a mean electricity price is calculated based on the prices achieved on the European Energy Exchange. The running EEX price

is determined by the merit order principle. Plant operators offer specific quantities of electricity, defined mostly by their marginal costs, and ranked in ascending order of price (Figure 7). The purchase offers of power consumers are arranged in descending order. The point of intersection of the two curves shows the energy exchange price of the entire quantity traded. The most expensive offer influences the profit margins of the cheaper suppliers.




Figure 7: Pricing on the European Energy Exchange EEX [Roon].

PV power feed-in has legal priority, meaning that it is found at the start of the pricing scale due to the merit order effect. With fictitious marginal costs of zero, PV power is always sold when available. PV power is predominantly generated during the middle of the day when power consumption (and previously, but no longer, the electricity price) is at its midday peak. During these periods, PV power mainly displaces electricity from expensive peak-load power plants (especially gas-fired plants and pumped-storage). This displacement lowers the spot price of electricity on the market and leads to the merit order effect of PV feed-in (Figure 8). With sinking market prices, the profits of all conventional power plants (nuclear, coal, gas, hydro) also decrease. Further, solar PV electricity lowers the capacity utilization of the traditional peak-load power plants (gas and hydro in particular.)

In 2011, approximately one third of all the power generated in Germany was traded on the energy exchange [EEX]. It is, however, to be assumed that pricing on the energy exchange has a similar influence on over-the-counter prices on the futures market [IZES].

The increasing amount of renewable electricity being fed into the grid, lower coal prices and surplus of CO₂ allowances have drastically depressed prices on the EEX (Figure 8).




Figure 8: Influence of RE on the average spot price on the energy exchange (EEX) [BDEW2].

4.5 Determining the Differential Costs

The differential costs shall cover the gap between the remunerations paid out according to the EEG promotion and the sales revenue collected from PV electricity. Following a peak of almost 7 €-cts/kWh, the spot price of electricity, used to determine the differential costs, has since fallen to below 4 €-cts/kWh. The amount of electricity from PV and wind that is fed into the grid is increasing. This reduces the spot market price through the merit order effect and thereby, paradoxically increases the calculated differential costs. According to this method, the more PV installed, the more expensive the kWh price of PV appears to be. Price drops in coal and CO₂ allowances similarly reduce the spot price and thus increase the calculated differential costs.




Figure 9: Development of the average spot electricity price and the calculated differential costs [BDEW2].

4.6 Privileged Electricity Consumers

Policy makers determine who shall finance the transformation to renewable energy [BAFA]. They decided that energy-intensive industries, i.e. those who spend a high proportion of their costs on electricity, are to be exempted from the EEG surcharge to a large extent. In 2014, industries were relieved of costs totaling ca. 5.1 billion euros. The total electricity falling under this exemption amounts to almost one-fifth of Germany's entire power consumption. Figure 10 shows the estimated breakdown of the EEG surcharge paid by industry in 2015. This wide-scale exemption increases the burden on the other electricity customers, in particular, private households, who account for almost 30 percent of the total power consumed.




Figure 10: Electricity consumed and EEG surcharge for industry (estimated for 2015) [BDEW24]

The surcharge exemption for privileged customers as set down in the EEG contributed to a stronger increase in the nominal EEG surcharge per kilowatt hour (see Section 5.5). At the same time, energy-intensive industries are benefiting from the lower spot prices on during peak-power times. It is evident that part of the surcharge indirectly ends up in the pockets of these energy-intensive industries: "Energy-intensive companies, which are either largely exempt from the EEG surcharge or pay a reduced rate of 0.05 €-cts/kWh, benefit the most from the merit order effect. For these companies, the lower prices brought about by the merit order effect overcompensates for the costs incurred as a result of the EEG surcharge by far." [IZES] Energy-intensive companies therefore benefit from the energy transformation without making a noteworthy contribution.

4.7 EEG Surcharge

The difference between the remunerations paid out and the sales revenues from renewable electricity generated (supplemented by other items) is compensated for by the EEG surcharge (Figure 11). The cost of the surcharge is borne by those power consumers, who do not fall under the exemption scheme. For 2016, the EEG surcharge is set at **6.35 €-cts/kWh**. End users must pay value added tax (19%) on this surcharge so that the costs imposed on private households increases to **7.56 €-cts/kWh**. In 2014, the aid going to RE from the surcharge was just 2.54 €-cts/kWh, less than half the total surcharge (Figure 12).




Figure 11: Influential parameters and calculating method for the EEG surcharge [ÖKO]

Excluding outside costs, **55 percent** of the EEG surcharge, or **1.40 €-cts/kWh**, was allocated to PV in **2014** (Figure 13). However, in the same year, PV made up about **25 percent** of the total electricity falling under the EEG [ÜNB], and therefore received preferential support. This is neither surprising nor unintentional. The disproportionate

support of PV is a direct consequence of the fact that during the initial years of the EEG, the levelized cost of PV electricity and its feed-in tariff were many times greater than those of other RE sources, e.g. approximately seven times greater than those of wind power. The preferential treatment was also intentional since PV was expected to have the greatest cost reduction potential. In reality, developments greatly exceeded all expectations, with power from newly installed PV plants already receiving significantly less remuneration than wind power from new offshore installations (initial tariff incl. bonuses).




Figure 12: Composition of the EEG surcharge (2014 still based on estimates) [BEE1].




Figure 13: Breakdown of the EEG surcharge in 2014, excluding external costs [BEE1].

Figure 14 shows the EEG surcharge in cts/kWh and the sum paid out for installed systems. Since the measure basing the surcharge on the EEX spot market price was introduced in 2010, the surcharge and the feed-in tariff have been drifting apart. The increasing amount of privileged consumers in energy-intensive industry and other measures have also contributed to this drift.




Figure 14: Development of the EEG surcharge and the EEG differential costs [ISE9]

Based on the way it's defined, the EEG surcharge would increase for the following reasons:

1. decreasing spot electricity prices
The cheaper the electricity price becomes on the European Energy Exchange (EEX) in Leipzig, the more the EEG surcharge increases and thus the more expensive electricity becomes for private households and small consumers. By contrast, shutting down the oldest coal power plants would not only support the goals of the German government but also reduce the EEG surcharge [DIW].
2. increasing quantities of power used by privileged consumers
In 2014, around one-fifth of the electricity power generated was consumed by energy-intensive industries, who are virtually exempt from contributing to the surcharge. The resulting additional costs of ca. 2.5 billion euros are borne by smaller-sized consumers, such as private households, small industry and commercial consumers [BNA].
3. increasing power production from RE sources, without on-site or self-consumption
While the increase in power generated from renewable sources is desired in itself, it nevertheless increases the surcharge at least in the short term. This happens both directly due to a greater amount of feed-in tariffs and indirectly due to the dramatic drop in prices for emission allowances, which leads to fossil fuel power plant operators being able to offer electricity at lower prices.
4. merit order effect
PV power feed-in during times (e.g. midday) when the EEX spot price used to be at its peak, effectively lowers electricity prices. At the same time it increases the difference between the feed-in tariff and the market price, which is used as a basis for calculating the EEG surcharge.
5. declining electricity consumption

Initiatives to reduce electricity consumption reduces the amount purchased, thereby increasing the surcharge per kWh

6. the management premium as an element of the market premium

The market premium model causes additional costs of estimated hundreds of millions of euros.

5. Subventions and Electricity Prices

5.1 *Is PV power subsidized?*

No. The support is provided through a surcharge, which applies also in part to self-produced and self-consumed PV electricity.

The investment incentives for PV power are not supported by public funds. While fragmentary reports often quote figures relating to past and future PV power feed-in tariff payments in the hundreds of billions and call these “subsidies”, a true subsidy is supported by public funds. The EEG, on the other hand, makes provisions for a surcharge in which energy consumers make a compulsory contribution towards the transforming the energy system. This interpretation is also supported by the European Commission. The EEG surcharge is not the total remuneration, but rather the differential costs, calculated as the difference between costs paid (remuneration) and revenues received (see section 4.5). The cumulative costs paid out for PV power fed into the grid up to and including **2014** amounted to **around 50 billion euros**.

To calculate the EEG surcharge, the financial benefits of PV power are determined according to the market clearing price on the European Energy Exchange (EEX) in Leipzig. By this method, the benefits of PV power are underestimated systematically. For one, PV power has long been having the desired effect on this market price, namely that of driving it downwards (see section 4.4). Second, the market price leaves out the heavy external costs of fossil fuel and nuclear power production (section 5.2). Considering total costs of fossil fuel and nuclear power production of ca. 10 €-cts/kWh, the additional costs of the PV feed-in tariff decline so quickly that the first intersection point occurs already in 2013 (see Figure 5). However, the new PV systems are those subject to future policy decisions. Therefore, new PV systems, installed in open spaces, must produce cheaper electricity than the existing fossil and nuclear power plants, when total costs are considered. The marginal costs of such systems decrease to zero and thereafter are negative.

As it is expected that the external costs of fossil fuels and nuclear power shall soon become impossible to bear, the increase in RE shall ensure that electricity remains available at sustainable prices in the long term. Our industrial sector needs better prospects for a secure energy supply in the future, as do householders.

The electricity policy can learn from the bitter lessons experienced in housing construction policy. Because comprehensive measures to renovate the existing building stock

have not been undertaken to date, many low-income households must apply for social funds to be able to pay for their heating fuel. These funds flow, in part, then to foreign suppliers of gas and oil.

What would be the price to pay if the German energy transformation fails? Without knowing this figure, it is difficult to make a statement as to the total costs required to transform our energy supply system.

5.2 Are fossil fuel and nuclear energy production subsidized?

Yes.

Policy makers also influence the price of electricity generated by fossil fuel and nuclear power plants. Political decisions determine the price of CO₂ emission allowances, conditions for filtering smoke and, where necessary, for the permanent storage of CO₂ (carbon capture and storage, CCS), the taxation of nuclear power as well as insurance and safety requirements for nuclear power plants.

This means that policy makers decide to what extent today's energy consumers must bear responsibility for the elusive risks and burden of producing electricity from fossil fuel and nuclear sources. When these costs are considered more rigorously, it is likely that PV power will make the power mix less expensive, while the overall electricity generation price will be noticeably higher. Until this happens, fossil fuel and nuclear power will be sold at prices that conceal their external costs (see section 21.9, [DLR1], [FÖS1]) and pass the burden on to future generations.

A study from the Forum Green Budget Germany [FÖS2] states: "For decades, the conventional energy sources of nuclear, hard coal and lignite have profited on a large scale from government subsidies in the form of financial assistance, tax concessions and other beneficial boundary conditions. In contrast to the renewable energies, a large portion of these costs is not accounted and paid for in a transparent manner. Rather, funds are appropriated from the national budget. If these costs were also to be added to the electricity price as a 'conventional energy tariff,' they would amount to 10.2 cts/kWh, which is almost three times the value of the EEG surcharge in 2012."




Figure 15: Estimate of a "conventional energy tariff" using the additional costs of conventional energy sources 2012 as a basis [FÖS2]

Up to now subsidies for the renewable energies have amounted to 54 billion euros. To compare, from 1970 to 2012 subsidies for hard coal amounted to 177 billion euros, for brown coal 65 billion euros and for nuclear energy 187 billion euros respectively." Contrary to initial plans, and with costs of 5 euros per metric ton of CO₂, CO₂ emission allowances only have a minor effect on the costs of generating power from fossil fuels. (See Figure 16) Compare with estimated realistic prices of 70 euros per metric ton [DLR], this equates to a subsidy of more than 20 billion euros per year for fossil fuel power plants.



Figure 16: Price of CO₂ allowances from 2008 - 2013 on the EEX spot market

It is currently impossible to pinpoint the actual costs and risks of generating power from fossil fuel and nuclear sources. The majority of these shall only emerge in the future

(CO₂-induced climate-related catastrophes, nuclear disasters, the permanent storage of nuclear waste, nuclear terrorism, permanently contaminated sites), making a comparison difficult. According to experts, the risks of nuclear power are so severe that insurance and reinsurance companies the world over are not willing to offer policies for plants generating energy of this kind. A study conducted by the Versicherungsforen Leipzig sets the limit of liability for the risk of the most serious type of nuclear meltdown at 6 trillion euros, which, depending on the time period over which this sum is accrued, would increase the electricity price per kilowatt hour to between 0.14 and 67.30 euros [VFL]. As a result, it is essentially the tax payers who act as the nuclear industry's insurers. This is essentially forced upon them both against their wishes, since the majority of Germans have been opposed to nuclear energy for many years, and as an unspecified amount, because no fixed price has been established to date for damage settlements. This is a subsidy whose burden on the future cannot be predicted.

According to estimates by the IEA, power generated by fossil fuels received more than 544 billion dollars of subsidies worldwide in 2012 [IEA4]. According to a study by the International Monetary Fund, total subventions worldwide for coal, oil and natural gas in 2015 are estimated to be 5.1 billion US\$ [IWF].

5.3 Do tenants subsidize well-positioned home owners?

No.

This notion, which makes a popular headline and in this instance is taken from the "Die Zeit" newspaper published on December 8, 2011 is a distorted image of reality. Except for the politically willed exception granted to energy-intensive industry, the costs of switching our energy system to RE are being borne by all consumers (including all households and thereby home owners and tenants) according to the cost-by-cause principle. In addition to PV, these costs also contribute funding to wind power and other renewables. All electricity customers can decrease their energy consumption by selecting and using energy efficient appliances. Many municipalities offer free consultations on energy saving advice and also grants to help pay for new, more efficient devices. Electricity tariffs that increase with consumption would be a suitable means to reduce the burden on low-income households and simultaneously to reward energy efficiency.

PV systems installed by home owners are usually under 10 kWp. The systems within this power range make up less than 15% of the total installed PV power in Germany, while large systems above 500 kWp make up about 30 % (Figure 26). The larger systems are often financed with citizen participation or funds, in which tenants can also participate.

5.4 Does PV power generation make electricity more expensive for householders?

Yes.

However, private households bear many additional charges within their electricity bill. The German legislature sets the principles for calculating and distributing the EEG sur-

charge, and other taxes and fees, the effects of which are currently detrimental to householders.




Figure 17: An example showing components making up the domestic electricity price of 29 €-cts/kWh in 2014 (CHP: German Combined Heat and Power Act; German Electricity Grid Access Ordinance (Strom-NEV): easing the burden on energy-intensive industries; concession fee: fee for using public transmission lines).

A typical three-person household with an annual power consumption of 3,500 kWh paid roughly **29 €-cts/kWh** in 2014. Figure 17 shows the breakdown of this electricity price in an exemplary manner. The electricity levy was introduced in 1999. According to the law, the levy intends to make electricity more expensive; the proceeds go principally into the public pension fund. Private households must pay value added tax on the electricity and the EEG surcharge.




Figure 18: Development of gross domestic electricity prices, net electricity prices for large-scale industrial consumers [BMWi1] and the EEG surcharge; half of the gross domestic electricity prices are made up of taxes and fees.

5.5 Does PV increase the electricity price for industry?

Yes and no. There are clear winners but also losers.

According to the German Industrial Energy and Power Federation (VIK), the electricity price is at a ten year low for medium voltage customers– provided that they are exempted from the EEG-surcharge. (See VIK base index, (Figure 19). Today the VIK final selling price index for non-privileged businesses is twice as high as the base index. This is mainly due to the EEG surcharge which makes up part of the final selling price.




Figure 19: VIK electricity price index for medium-voltage customers [VIK]

6. Are we exporting large amounts of PV power to other European nations?

No, the increased export surplus comes primarily from new coal power plants.

“Preliminary estimates indicate that an export surplus of more than 34 TWh is expected for 2014. This new record is approx.1% higher than the former record achieved in 2013. The largest exports occurred in January through April and September through December. Exports were lower in the summer months between May and August due to the annual power plant renovations, carried out regularly each summer. July was the only month with an import surplus. For about 6950 of the 8760 hours, or 80% of the time, energy exports to neighboring countries were greater than imports. The majority of electricity exports were sent to Holland, followed by Austria and Poland. Germany imported electricity from France and acted mostly as a transit land, transferring the electricity on to its neighboring countries.” [ISE4]




Figure 20: Monthly power export and import balances in 2014. [ISE4]

The monthly values for the export surplus (Figure 20) were especially high at the beginning and end of the year. In these months PV electricity production is particularly low. This fact contradicts the claim that massive amounts of PV electricity are being exported.

The Energy Charts (https://www.energy-charts.de/trade_de.htm) show that the mean price per kWh received for electricity exports in 2014 rose 3.2 percent above the mean import price.

7. Can new PV plants bring reasonable rates of return?

Yes, however, the massive slump in the annually installed new capacity (-57 % in 2013, -42% in 2014, ca. -30 % in 2015) confirms that this has become more difficult. In principle, new PV installations can bring profits through grid feed-in as well as self-consumption. The policy makers, however, are increasingly cutting into the profits in both business models by imposing new measures:

- Massively reducing feed-in tariffs (about 70 % per kWh electricity since 1.1.2010)
- Limiting useful area (Free-standing systems on arable land excluded from receiving remuneration since EEG 2010.)
- Limiting system size (Free-standing systems greater than 10 MW excluded from receiving remuneration since the 2012 update. As of 2016, exemption and mandatory direct marketing for electricity produced from systems larger than 100 kW.)
- Free-standing systems excluded from EEG remuneration according to predefined clauses (As of 2015, free-standing systems receive remuneration only after successfully applying to the Federal Grid Agency.)
- Trade limitations (set minimum price, European Commission limits the number of and imposes duties on imported Chinese modules since 2013)

- Self-generated and self-consumed PV power subject to EEG surcharge (Fractional increase in EEG surcharge for systems larger than 10 kW as of 2014.)

Self-consumption becomes more worthwhile, the greater the difference is between the cost of delivering PV electricity and the LCOE of the PV system. For systems without energy storage, the self-consumption is dependent on coinciding supply and demand profiles. Independent of the system size, households generally consume 20-40 % of their self-produced electricity [Quasch]. Larger systems increase the percentage of PV coverage for the total power, however, reduce the percentage of self-consumption. Commercial or industry consumers achieve an particularly high rate of self-consumption as long as their consumption profile doesn't collapse on the weekends (e.g. Refrigerated warehouses, hotels and restaurants, hospitals, server centers, retail). Storage and technologies for energy transformation offer a large potential for increasing the self-consumption (compare Section 17.3).

The PV system yield is higher in sunnier regions, however, regional irradiation differences do not transfer to specific yield in a one-to-one ratio (kWh/kWp). (See section 21.4.) Other parameters, such as the module operating temperature or the duration of snow cover, also affect the annual yield.




Figure 21: Rough estimate of levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) for PV power plants at different annual irradiances

To obtain a rough estimate of the discounted LCOE (not adjusted for inflation, see Figure 21), the following assumptions were used:

- optimal orientation of module (approximately 30° south)
- performance ratio (section 21.6) of 85 percent
- annual yield degradation of 0.5 percent
- lifetime of 20 years
- annual operating costs of 1 percent (of plant price)
- inflation rate of 0 percent
- nominal imputed interest rate of 5 percent (average of own and borrowed capital investments)

In Germany, the annual sum of average global irradiance on a horizontal surface is 1055 kWh/m² per year [DWD]. The levelized cost of energy (LCOE) is estimated using the net present value method, according to which, the running costs and LCOE are discounted by the interest rate given at the time the plant was commissioned. The LCOE values determined are not adjusted for inflation. This makes it easier to compare them with the feed-in tariff which is constant in nominal terms but declines in real terms.

In the event of a 100 percent equity investment, the imputed interest is equal to the rate of return. To compare, the Federal Network Agency (Bundesnetzagentur) set the return on equity at 9.05 percent (before corporate tax) for both new and further investments in the electricity and gas networks [BNA2].

It is currently not possible to calculate the energy yield beyond the twenty-first operating year of a PV system. It is likely, however, that many plants will continue to generate significant quantities of electricity at marginal running costs. However, the guidelines governing self-consumption and the future pricing and remuneration concept of ESCs as well as any interventions from policy makers also affect yield calculations. There is no guarantee on the PV plant's rate of return during the EEG remuneration period. Neither the manufacturer's guarantee nor plant insurance policies are able to remove the risk to the investor entirely.

8. Does installing PV only create jobs in Asia?

No, however over the last few years Germany lost many jobs in the PV industry.

Back in 2014, the PV industry employed between **45,000 – 50,000** people in Germany **(See XX)** and achieved an export quota of around **65 percent** [BSW]. Businesses from the following sectors contribute to the German PV industry:

1. manufacture of materials (silicon, wafers, metal pastes, plastic films, solar glass)
2. manufacture of intermediate and final products, including solar cells, modules, inverters, supporting structures, cables and coated glass
3. construction of manufacturing plants
4. installation (especially trade)

The global market share of all German PV suppliers (component, machinery and plant manufacturers) stood at **46 percent** in 2011 and boasted an export quota of **87 percent** [VDMA].

With a production volume of 1,3 GW, Germany was a net importer of solar cells and modules in 2013 (Photon 2014-01). However, in other sectors of the PV industry, the country is clearly a net exporter and, in certain areas, an international market leader (e.g. inverters, PV manufacturing equipment). Many jobs were lost in Germany in the last years as a result of company closures and insolvency, which affected cell and module manufacturers, the mechanical engineering industry and installers. In 2007, the plan that the combination of EEG, investment grants in the (new) eastern states of Germany and research support would help establish Germany as a worldwide leading production site for PV cells and modules appeared to work. A German company led the international rankings in production volume. Since then, however, the market share of German manufactures has decreased dramatically due to the industrial policy in Asia and the huge investments put into in production capacity there. The labor costs play a subordinate role in this development because PV production today is highly automated. An important aspect, however, is the low complexity associated with PV production as compared, for example, to the automobile or microelectronic industry. For several years, turn-key production lines that produce very good quality PV modules can be bought off-the-shelf, which enables fast technology transfer.

Effective laws for feed-in tariffs in Germany and Europe have spurred on massive investments in PV power plants. Alone in Germany, these amounted to investments of 90 billion euros through to 2014 [DLR2]. In these countries, however, the economic-political framework is missing for generating investments in production capacity within a competitive format (e.g. on the gigawatt scale). Rather, China and other Asian countries have succeeded through the creation of attractive conditions for investments and credit to mobilize four billion euro investment capital from national and international sources for the construction of large-scale production lines.




Figure 22: Employees in the RE sector in Germany [DLR2]

In spite of the high import quota of PV modules, a large part of the value chain for PV power plants remains within Germany. Assuming that around 80 percent of PV modules installed in Germany come from Asia, that these modules comprise roughly 60 percent of the total PV plant costs (other 40 percent predominantly from inverter and installation costs) and that initial plant costs make up around 60 percent of the levelized cost of electricity (remainder: capital costs), then nearly 30 percent of the feed-in tariff goes to Asia for imported modules. Also to consider is that approximately half of all Asian PV products are produced on manufacturing equipment made in Germany.

In the long term, the falling cost of manufacturing PV modules coupled with increasing freight costs and long delivery times shall increasingly improve the competitive position of manufacturing companies in Germany.

9. Are large power plant operators refusing to install PV systems?

To date, they have shown little interest in PV power production in Germany.

In 2010 (more recent data is unfortunately not available), the majority of Germany's installed PV capacity belonged to private individuals and farmers, while the remainder was divided between commercial enterprises, project planners and investment funds. The four big power plant operators EnBW, Eon, RWE and Vattenfall (called "big four" in Figure 23) owned a mere 0.2 percent. Where does their aversion to PV power come from?

1. The power consumption in Germany is showing a declining tendency since 2007. The construction of new renewable power plants will either force a reduction in the utilization rate of existing power plant parks or an increase in electricity export.
2. Because PV electricity is generated primarily during periods of peak load, conventional peak load power plants are required less often. This reduces their utilization and profitability in particular. Paradoxically flexible power plants with fast response times are increasingly in demand.
3. PV power plants deliver power during the day at times when demand is at a peak (Figure 51). This lowers the market price of electricity on the EEX, which carries over to all plants presently producing electricity. (Section 4.4). Previously, the big power plant operators were able to sell inexpensive base load power at a lucrative price during midday. Already by 2011, PV led to price reductions on the energy exchange and thus to dramatic slumps in profit.
4. Because PV power production fluctuates, the slow start-up and shut-down properties of nuclear or older coal-fired power plants cause difficulties with increasing PV expansion. One particularly striking example is negative electricity prices on the market. Coal is being burned and the consumers must pay for the electricity. This leads to system wear in places where controls are technically feasible but no provision in the necessary frequency exists.
5. The transformation from centralized production using big power plants to decentralized production requires new business models.

While big power plant producers have shown little interest in PV up to now, large wind farms, especially offshore wind, fit much better into their business model. In a F.A.Z. interview appearing on April 2, 2013, the EU commissioner Günther Oettinger gave his opinion on this issue: "The expansion of photovoltaic capacity in Germany is getting out hand and we must put a limit on it. In general, we need to impose a speed limit for the expansion of renewables until we have developed sufficient storage capacities and energy grids to intelligently distribute the electricity. (...) Actually, it is much more meaningful in the long term to install wind farms out on the open sea because there are many more wind-hours per year there. This would require start-up financing which the EEG can guarantee because the feed-in tariff for each type of energy source is fixed – not, however, the quota model."




Figure 23: Division of ownership of the total installed capacity of PV plants at the end of 2010 [trend:research].

Many of the approximately 1000 municipal electricity suppliers in Germany have recognized the challenges facing the energy transformation and have reacted by offering new products and integral concepts, e.g. "virtual power plants" (Figure 24).




Figure 24: Concept for a virtual power plant of the Stadtwerke München (Munich municipal works) [SWM]

10. Is PV research taking up high levels of funding?

Looking back at previous numbers, Figure 25 shows that it took time for renewable energy and energy efficiency to become a focal point of energy research.

Figure 26 shows the funding granted for PV research by the federal ministries.




Figure 25: Germany's expenditure on energy research, Data from [BMW1].



Figure 26: Funding for PV research categorized by technology [BMW3].

11. Does PV power overload our present energy system?

11.1 Transmission and distribution

Over 98 percent of Germany's more than one million PV power plants are connected to the decentralized low-voltage grid and generate solar electricity in close proximity to consumers [BSW]. PV plants of over 1 MW installed capacity account for only 15 percent of the total PV capacity in Germany.

Thus, the feed-in of solar electricity takes place predominantly in a decentralized manner and hardly makes any demands on an expansion of the German national transmission network.

A high density of power plants in a low-voltage section of the power grid may result in power generation exceeding consumption in this section of the grid on sunny days. In this event, transformers feed power back into the medium-voltage grid. In sections with high plant densities, this may push transformer stations to their limits. An equal distribution of PV installations across all of the grid sections reduces the need to expand the grid.




Figure 27: Left: Schema of PV power feed-in [BSW], Right: Installed PV power categorized by system size (as of Dec. 2012) (Data up to 2008 from transmission system operators (TSO), 2009: Bundesnetzagentur (German Federal Network Agency); Data compiled by PSE/Fraunhofer ISE 2013.

The decentralized and uniform nature of PV generation is accommodating to the feed-in and distribution of the existing electricity grid. Large PV power plants or a local accumulation of smaller plants in sparsely populated regions require that the distribution network and the transformer stations are reinforced at certain sites. The further expansion of PV shall be carried out with more attention to supply, in order to simplify the distribution of solar electricity. The states of Bavaria and Brandenburg have three to four times

more PV capacity installed per resident as compared to the states of Saarland, North Rhine-Westfalia, Saxony or Hessen.

11.2 Volatility

11.2.1 Solar power production is predictable

Reliable national weather forecasts mean that the generation of solar power can now accurately be predicted (Figure 28). Because PV power generation is decentralized, regional changes in cloud cover do not lead to serious fluctuations in PV power production throughout Germany as a whole.




Figure 28: Actual and predicted hourly generation of power in 2014 [ISE4].

11.2.2 Peak production is significantly lower than installed capacity

For technical reasons (performance ratio (PR) ≤ 90 percent, see section 21.6) and due to variable weather conditions, the actual PV power generated will be above 70 percent of the total installed rated power (see section 3) across Germany on only a very few days of the year.

Restricting or limiting ("feed-in management") individual plants to 70 percent of their rated power leads to an estimated loss of revenue of between 2 and 5 percent [Photon International 2011-07, p. 58]. A statutory regulation that actually enforces this limit for small plants came into force in 2012.

11.2.3 Solar and wind energy complement each other




Figure 29: Average hourly amount of solar and wind energy fed into the grid in 2014 [ISE4].

Due to the particular climate in Germany, high solar irradiance is negatively correlated with high wind speeds. At the end of 2014, there was 38 GW PV and 36 GW wind installed in Germany. The total electricity from solar and wind power fed into the grid rarely exceeded the 30 GW mark (Figure 29:). Therefore, limiting feed-in from solar and wind at a threshold value of nearly half the sum of their nominal powers does not lead to substantial losses. A balanced mix of solar and wind capacity is markedly superior to the one-sided expansion that would be brought about through the introduction of a competitive incentive model (e.g. the quota model).




Figure 30: Monthly production of PV and wind power for 2011 - 2014 [ISE4].


11.3 Controllability

With its ever greater capacity, PV increasingly fulfills the role as a stabilizing variable. The amended EEG dated January 1, 2012 stipulates that feed-in management in the form of remote control via the grid operator or an automatic cut off at 70 percent of real power is also performed to regulate plants connected to the low-voltage grid. In accordance with the Low Voltage Directive VDE AR-N-4105, which has been in force since January 1, 2012, inverters must perform functions that support the grid.

"...the predominantly decentralized way in which PV is fed into the distribution grid in close proximity to consumers reduces grid operating costs and in particular those relating to the transmission grid. A further advantage of feeding in PV is that in addition to feeding in real power, PV plants are in principle able to offer extra grid services (e.g. local voltage regulation) at cost-effective prices. They are particularly suitable for integration in subordinate grid management systems and may contribute towards improving grid stability and quality." [ISET2]

11.4 Conflicts with slow-response fossil and nuclear power plants

The PV power generation profile fits so well to the power grid's load profile that at all times Germany's entire electricity demand, which ranges between 40–80 GW, shall exceed the PV electricity available, even if PV capacity continues to expand in the coming years. However, conflicts with slow plant start-up are increasing (especially for nuclear power and lignite-fired power plants). Due to the present technical and economic constraints, these types of power plants react to fluctuating residual loads only to a very limited extent. Essentially, priority must be given to power produced from intermittent energy sources due to their negligible marginal costs. This yet unsolved conflict can lead to short-term surpluses in production and large electricity exports at low, or even negative, trading prices, as shown in the example given in Figure 34.






Figure 31: Example showing course of electricity trading price, conventional and renewable electricity in the 12th calendar week (March 2013)

During past heat waves, the rivers used as cooling reservoirs for fossil fuel and nuclear power plants became critically warm. The PV installations in Germany were able to help relax this problem and can also help to reduce this problem in neighboring countries such as France. Especially during summer, the installed PV in Germany categorically reduces the load on the fossil fuel and nuclear power plants.

11.5 Does the expansion of PV have to wait for more storage?

No.

Although the EU commissioner Guenther Oettinger in an interview with the newspaper FAZ (2 April 2013) said: "We must limit the escalating PV capacity in Germany. In the first place, we need to set a tempo limit for renewable energy expansion until we have sufficient storage capacity and an energy grid that can intelligently distribute the electricity."

In fact, the situation is the opposite. Investing in storage is first profitable when large differences in the electricity price frequently occur, either on the electricity exchange market EEX or at the consumer level. Currently investments in storage, specifically pumped storage, are even being deferred because cost-effective operation is not possible.

A continued expansion of PV and wind will first cause prices on the electricity exchange EEX to sink more often and more drastically. On the other hand, a reduced amount of nuclear electricity caused by the planned phase out and more expensive electricity from coal-fired plants due to the imposed CO₂ allowances or taxes will result in price increases on the EEX. This price spread creates the basis for a profitable storage operation. If the price difference is passed on to the final customer through a tariff structure, then storage also becomes an interesting alternative for them.

A study from the German Institute for Economic Research (DIW) comes to the conclusion that electricity surpluses from renewable energy sources are a problem that can be solved [DIW]. By making the electricity system more flexible, especially by eliminating the "must-run" basis of conventional power plants which is presently at ca. 20 GW and by

establishing a more flexible system of biomass generated electricity, the electricity surplus from wind and solar energy can be reduced to less than 2% by 2032. The DIW takes the grid development plan 2013 as its basis [NEP] with an installed PV capacity of 65 GW, onshore wind capacity of 66 GW and offshore wind of 25 GW respectively.

12. Does the manufacture of PV modules consume a lot of energy?

No.

A solar plant's energy payback time depends on the technology used and the plant's location. For an annual global horizontal irradiance of 1055 kWh/m², which is the mean value for Germany, this takes approximately two years [EPIA]. The lifetime of solar modules is between 20 and 30 years, meaning that a solar plant constructed today would generate at least ten times as much energy during its lifetime as is used to manufacture it. What's more, ever more efficient manufacturing processes mean that this value shall improve in the future. Wind power plants in Germany demonstrate even shorter energy pay back times ranging from 2-7 months.

13. Does new PV capacity compete with food production for land?

No.

The large-scale construction of PV systems on arable land has not been supported by the EEG since July 2010, resulting in the installation of such systems grounding to a halt and new ground-mounted systems only being constructed on specific redeveloped brown-field sites or in the close vicinity of highways and railway lines.

Furthermore, expansion scenarios do not envisage a significant amount of PV installations being built on arable land. There are various methods under investigation in the area of Agro-PV that propose combined land use for both agricultural purposes and PV [Beck]. Reduced irradiance has not been found to stunt the growth of many crops; some crops even benefit from it.

14. Are PV plants in Germany efficient?

The nominal efficiency (see section 21.2) of commercial wafer-based PV modules (i.e. modules with silicon solar cells) has risen in recent years by an annual rate of around 0.3 percentage points to an average of nearly **16 percent** (Photon International 2-2013) and a peak performance of over **20 percent**. Each square-meter of module has a rated power of nearly 160 W, with premium modules reaching over 200 W. The nominal efficiency of thin-film modules stands between **6 and 11 percent**, with a peak performance of **12 to 13 percent**.




Figure 32: Development of the mean efficiency of PV modules, based on mono and multi-crystalline solar cells, according to year of market introduction. Data from Photon International 2014-02.

Since additional losses occur during operation, PV plants do not actually operate at nominal module efficiency. These effects are combined in the performance ratio (PR). A well-designed PV plant installed today achieves a PR of 80–90 percent throughout the year. This takes into account all losses incurred as a result of the actual operating temperature, varying irradiance conditions, dirt on the solar modules, line resistance and conversion losses in the inverter. Inverters convert the direct current (DC) generated by the modules to alternating current (AC) for grid feed-in. The efficiency of new PV inverters currently stands close to 98 percent.

Depending on irradiance and performance ratio (PR), specific yields of around 900–950 kWh/kWp are typically generated in Germany and in the sunnier regions up to 1000 kWh/kWp. This corresponds to around 150 kWh per square-meter module and for premium modules around 180 kWh. An average 4-person household consumes around 4400 kWh electricity per year, corresponding to the annual yield generated by 30 m² of new modules with today's average market efficiency. Calculations show that a south-facing, tilted roof of a detached family home is typically expansive enough to accommodate about 20 PV modules. This would be sufficient to supply the equivalent of the family's annual electricity needs. To increase yield, PV modules are optimally tilted on flat roofs and open land to achieve the highest yield. Tilted south-facing modules, positioned at suitable distance from one another to prevent shading, require an area approximately 2.5 times their own surface area.

In comparison, when converting energy crops into electricity, the efficiency value calculated on the basis of irradiance is significantly less than one percent. This amount falls

further when organic fossil fuels such as coal, oil or natural gas are converted into electricity. The efficiency of combustion-based power plants is based on the chemical energy which already exists in fossil fuels. Based on this method of calculation, Germany's coal-fired power plants report an average efficiency value of 38 percent, for example.

Burning biofuels in vehicles also only results in mediocre levels of efficiency when these are determined on the basis of the irradiated energy and surface area used. Figure 33: compares the total driving distances of vehicles that burn various biofuels with that of an electric vehicle (plug-in hybrid drive), whose required drive energy is provided by a PV array which covers the same area as the energy crop needed for the fuel.

If one considers the driving range per tank filling or charging, electric-powered vehicles have a lower range than vehicles with combustion engines. Mass-produced plug-in hybrid vehicles are capable of running between 20 and 50 kilometers on the electrical energy provided by a fully charged battery alone.




Figure 33: Vehicle range for an annual yield of 1 a = 100 m² of energy crops (2,3) or 40 m² of elevated PV modules constructed on 100 m² of flat open ground, Sources: Photon, April 2007 (1) and Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe (2), (3).

While southern Spain and North Africa are able to produce specific yields of up to 1600 kWh/kWp, the power transmission to Germany would result in energy losses and additional charges. Depending on the voltage level, transmission losses are between 0.5 and 5 percent per 100 kilometers. Not taking conversion losses into account, high-voltage direct current (HVDC) transmission lines reduce transportation losses to just under 0.3 percent per 100 kilometers. Based on this, an HVDC transmission line of 5000 kilometers in length would present transmission losses of around 14 percent.

14.1 Do PV plants degrade?

Yes, albeit very slowly.

Wafer-based PV modules age so slowly that detecting any output losses poses a challenge to scientists.

A study examining 14 plants in Germany fitted with multicrystalline and monocrystalline modules showed an average degradation of a 0.1 percent relative drop in efficiency per year across the entire plant, including the modules [ISE2]. In this context, the common assumption that plants experience annual output losses of 0.5 percent seems conservative.

The above figures do not take into account any losses arising as a result of manufacturing faults. Comprehensive tests conducted by Fraunhofer ISE have shown that light-induced degradation of between one and two percent occurs during the first few days of operation depending on the material used in the solar cells. The indicated rated power of modules normally refers to output following this initial degradation.

Long-term data has not been collected for many types of thin-film modules. Depending on the type, degradation during the first few months of operation and seasonal fluctuations can be observed.

14.2 Can PV modules become soiled?

Yes, but any dirt that accumulates on the vast majority of plants in Germany is generally washed away the next time that it rains, so that virtually no yield losses occur. Problems only arise in modules installed at extremely shallow angles or those located in the vicinity of deciduous trees or sources of dust.

14.3 Do PV plants often operate at full capacity?

No.

The performance indicator “full-load hours” is the quotient of the actual energy generated by a power plant in the space of a year and its rated power (see section 21.3). Due to the fluctuating and cyclical solar irradiation patterns, PV plants actually operate for less than half of the 8760 total hours per year, and even when they are operating, the system generally operates at partial load. Based on a scenario giving expected trends for the years 2016–2020, the transmission system operators (TSOs) assume an average of 940 full load hours per annum for PV systems in Germany [ÜNB]. Figure 34 gives the forecasted full load hours per annum for different renewable energy systems in Germany, based on average values determined between 2012 and 2016.




Figure 34 : Forecasted hours of full-load operation for renewable energy plants, mean values from 2012-2016

The average total horizontal irradiance for Germany between 1981 and 2010 was 1055 kWh/m² per year and fluctuates between approximately 950 and 1260 kWh/m² per year according to location [DWD]. Figure 35 shows the irradiance distribution across Germany. In order to maximize yields, PV modules are oriented facing south and are installed with a tilt angle 30–40° to the horizontal. Tilting the PV modules increases the total incident irradiance on the modules by around 15 percent compared to the horizontal surface. This increases the average incident irradiation to roughly 1200 kWh/m² per year throughout Germany.

A performance ratio PR (see section 21.6) of 85 percent and an ideal orientation would result in a geographical average across Germany of more than 1030 full-load hours. Since some plants are not ideally oriented and many still have a PR of less than 85 percent, the actual average number of full-load hours is somewhat lower. Tracking systems significantly increase the number of hours at which PV modules run at full capacity (section 17.3.1). Technical improvements in the module and installation can increase the performance ratio PR, the yield and thus the number of full-load hours of a PV system. Improvements involve reducing the temperature coefficient of the solar cells, decreasing the operating temperature of the modules, optimizing the module performance under low and oblique light or minimizing losses due to snow cover or dirt.

In wind power plants, the greater the hub height, the greater the number of full-load hours. When required, nuclear, coal and gas-fired power plants are capable of working almost continuously (one year = 8760 hours) at their rated power. In reality, according to [BDEW1], lignite-fired power plants reached 6640 full-load hours in 2007, while hard coal-fired power plants achieved 3550 hours.




Figure 35: Horizontal annual global irradiation in Germany averaged over 1981-2010

15. Does PV make relevant contributions to climate protection?

15.1 Do anthropogenic CO₂ emissions danger the climate?

Yes. Most experts see a substantial risk.

It has been proven without a doubt that global warming is increasing [IPCC]. Compared to the preindustrial era, the mean global temperature has risen by 0.8 °C [IEA]. The majority of the scientific community assumes that that anthropogenic CO₂ and other

greenhouse gas emissions are most likely the main cause for the rising concentration of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere as well as for the increase in the mean global temperature. In May 2013, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration reached 400 ppm for the first time in 800,000 years. Figure 36 and Figure 37 show the development through today of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration and the global, or rather Antarctic, temperature.




Figure 36: Development of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration and the mean global temperature change based on the NASA Global Land-Ocean Temperature Index [IEA2].

A more rapid increase in global temperature dangers the stability of the global climate system to an extent that is not fully understood today. The temperature increase has far-reaching effects on the global food security, coastal settlements, diversity of species and numerous habitats.




Figure 37: Estimate of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration and the temperature in Antarctica based on ice core data [EPA], CO₂ concentration for 2013 is included

15.2 Does PV make a significant contribution to reducing the CO₂ emissions?

Yes.

Presently PV is replacing electricity generated from natural gas and hard coal power plants on the market. Based on data from 2013 giving the proportional amount of power generated from each energy source and the primary energy factors, each kWh of PV-generated electricity saved about 2.2 kWh of primary energy. In 2013, total primary energy savings amounted to 65 TWh. The actual influence of PV electricity on the power plant operations in general is difficult to determine.

| Strom | kWh _{prim} /kWh _{el} |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Braunkohle | 2,68 |
| Steinkohle | 2,64 |
| Erdgas | 2,04 |
| Mineralöl | 2,48 |
| Wasserkraft | 0,01 |
| Windenergie | 0,04 |
| Photovoltaik | 0,31 |
| Feste Biomasse (HKW) | 0,06 |
| Flüssige Biomasse (BHKW) | 0,26 |
| Biogas (BHKW) | 0,37 |
| Klär-/Deponiegas (BHKW) | 0,00 |
| Biogener Anteil des Abfalls | 0,03 |
| Geothermie | 0,47 |

Figure 38: Primary energy required to generate power from various energy sources [EEBW].

In 2011, the level of emissions avoided by installing PV power was 664 g CO₂-equivalents/kWh [BMU1]. This factor is calculated as the quotient of the emissions avoided and the amount of electricity generated and takes into account both greenhouse gases and other air pollutants. It varies depending on the structure of the power plant park. A total consumption of **28 TWh** PV electricity in 2012 led to avoided greenhouse gas emissions on the order of **18.6 million tons** of CO₂ equivalent. Hard coal-fired power plants emit roughly 949 g CO₂/kWh of electricity, while lignite-fired power plants emit approximately 1153 g CO₂/kWh of electricity.

The levelized cost of energy (LCOE) for large, new PV power plant parks is 9.5 €-cts/kWh, when the feed-in tariff is taken as an orientation. For these plants, the abatement costs stand at 14 euro-cts per kg CO₂-equivalent.

Germany's energy policy has influence on a global scale. Although only three percent of the global electricity consumption was due to Germany in 2008 (with consumption showing a downward trend), German policy makers are leading the way in terms of developing incentive programs for RE. The EEG is the best example of this. The EEG and its effect has been and continues to be closely observed around the world and has been used by many countries (presently about 30) as a model for similar regulations. Meanwhile, China is leading in expanding its PV capacity and has surpassed Germany in annual installed power many times over (by a factor of 3.6 in 2013).

The International Energy Agency (IEA) commends the EEG in their report "Deutschland 2013" as a very effective instrument for expansion, which has drastically reduced the costs for renewable energy production in the last years [IEA3]. Meanwhile, Germany's break with nuclear energy has also caught people's attention worldwide. An additional five European countries also have decided to phase out nuclear energy (Belgium, Switzerland, Spain) while other countries have already completed the phase-out (Italy, Lithuania).

In terms of avoiding CO₂ emissions, the EEG achieved the highest impact due to a side effect: The creation of the largest and most secure sales market for PV, which lasted many years, markedly accelerated the global expansion, technology development and price reduction. In 2013, the worldwide PV installations superseded the German market more than ten-fold. This upward trend is continuing. Worldwide PV is reducing the use of fossil fuels for electricity production.




Figure 39: Development of annually installed PV capacity for Germany and globally, or Rest of World, (RoW), CAGR stands for the compound annual growth rate.

The German EEG has made PV power affordable faster, also extending out to people in developing countries. In this context, the EEG is "possibly the most successful development program of all time when it comes to energy supply," says Bodo Hombach in the "Handelsblatt" newspaper on January 11, 2013, and also helps developing countries to save significant amounts of CO₂.

15.3 In addition to CO₂ are there other environmentally harmful gases released during the production of PV?

Yes, in the case of some thin film technologies.

During the production of thin-film PV and flat screens, nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃) is still used, in part, to clean the coating systems. Residues of this gas can thereby escape into the atmosphere. NF₃ is more than 17,000 times as harmful to the environment as carbon dioxide. Current emission quantities are not known. As of 2013, however, NF₃ emissions are to be determined in 37 countries according to the revised Kyoto Protocol.

16. Are PV systems capable of replacing fossil fuel and nuclear power plants?

No, not in the near future.

PV and wind power may currently be capable of reducing the use of fossil fuels, imported energy consumption and CO₂ emissions but until considerable storage capacities for electricity or hydroelectric storage facilities are available in the grid, they are not capable of replacing the capacities generated by fossil fuel and nuclear power plants. Calm, dull winter days, when power consumption is at a maximum and no solar or wind power is available, present the most critical test.

Despite this, PV and wind power are increasingly colliding with conventional power plants with slow start-up and shut-down processes (nuclear, old lignite power plants). These power plants, which are almost only capable of covering the base load, must be replaced by flexible power plants as quick as possible. The preferred power plant choice is multifunctional electrically powered CHP plants fitted with thermal storage systems (section 17.3.2).

17. Are we capable of covering a significant proportion of our energy demand with PV power?

Yes, depending on the extent to which we are able to adapt our energy system and the structure of our energy economy to meet the new requirements [FVEE2]. The following steps provide a brief overview of what needs to be done to achieve this aim, based on the situation today. More detailed explanations can be found in the following sections of this chapter.

Time frame: up to 2020. Focus on **“Creating flexibility”**

1. The installed PV capacity needs to be increased to at least 52 GW, close to the site of consumption; to achieve more constant production levels, plants must also be constructed with an east/west orientation or be fitted with a tracking system; inverter functions need to support the grid. This is necessary to ensure that at least 50 TWh of solar power per year is generated in 2020 with peak power capacities of up to 36 GW.
2. The energy efficiency of electrical consumers in households and the industry needs to increase, with special focus on the night consumption
3. Demand needs to be managed (via control signals from local PV plants or from the grid, tariffs, switchable loads in the industry) to ensure that certain proportions of our power consumption are in line with PV power (and wind power) availability; Thermal storage solutions need to be added to cooling applications.
4. Power plants using storable renewable energy sources (run-of-the-river hydroelectricity, biomass) need to be adapted to allow them to run complementary (pondage, storage). In accordance with current plans, the performance and capacity of pumped-storage power plants needs to be increased by between 30 and 40 per cent.
5. Multi-functional power plants for flexible power production need to be built, having CHP and substantial heat storage which also can be electrically charged with a heat pump and heating rod. The power plant scale ranges from large plants for district heating supply down to micro CHP systems for single family homes.
6. PV systems need to be equipped with grid-connected battery systems.
7. Existing coal-fired plants need to be optimized to enable flexible complementary operation. Nuclear and older lignite-fired plants need to be progressively decommissioned.
8. Power grid connections with our neighboring countries need to be strengthened

In order to avoid costly mistakes and to carry out the above measures in a timely manner, proper incentives are necessary: a stable EEG, investment incentives for energy efficient measures, multi-functional power plants and pumped storage, price and investment incentives for supply side power availability, remuneration for demand side electricity feed-in and lowering the implicit subvention for coal-fired plants by reducing the number of CO₂ allowances or imposing a CO₂ tax on the national level.

Time frame: up to 2040–2050. Focus on **“Storage”**

1. to enable around 190 TWh of solar power to be generated per year, installed PV capacity needs to be gradually increased to approximately 200 GW
2. heating supply systems need to be completely switched to RE and the energy efficiency of buildings must be improved
3. all modes of transportation need to run completely using electricity/renewable gas
4. the conversion and storage of RE (in particular electric energy in the form of electric energy) via renewable gas and batteries needs to increase substantially
5. the energetic use of fossil fuels needs to stop completely




Figure 40: Simplified diagram of a renewable energy system with the most important grid-connected components for production, conversion, storage and consumption; ICT: information and communications technology; dotted lines: very low outputs/capacities currently available.

It is already possible to envisage the technical and economic aspects of an energy system based on 100 percent RE. Figure 40 shows the most important grid-connected elements ranging from power production to energy consumption.

17.1 Energy scenarios

Energy scenarios provide neither facts nor forecasts. A few scenarios are considered below to provide a context for the assessment of the technical and economic potential of possible future energy systems.

Our current energy system, which is based on generating power from fossil fuel and nuclear sources, cannot survive in the long term. A variety of energy scenarios have been created for the coming decades, and they are increasingly incorporating the use of RE. The rapid expansion of PV witnessed in Germany, alongside the speed with which its costs have fallen, have already exceeded many of these studies' expectations.

The long-term scenarios and strategies for increasing RE in Germany drawn up on behalf of the BMU [IFNE] are based on the assumption that around 53 GW of PV capacity shall be installed in the country by the end of 2020 (Figure 41:). Assuming a utilization of 950 full load hours per year for these PV power plants, 50 TWh of solar power shall be generated in 2020.




Figure 41: Scenario "2011 A" for the expansion of RE capacity, data from [IFNE].

A study commissioned by the Federal Environment Agency has concluded that it is technically possible to generate all power renewably and in an environmentally friendly manner by 2050 [UBA1]. While this study works on the assumption of a total installed PV capacity of 120 GW in 2050, conservative estimates suggest that this milestone shall be reached first with an installed capacity of 275 GW. Figure 42 outlines a conversion and storage concept for the power and heating sector.




Figure 42: Scenario for Germany's energy system, diagram of the system's structure [ISE5].

Taking the energy concept developed by the German Research Association for Renewable Energy [FVEE] as a basis, Fraunhofer ISE has created a scenario that envisages PV power accounting for 30 percent of energy production by 2050. Figure 43 compares several scenarios for the supply of electricity in 2020 and 2050 emerging from this study.

A study conducted by the magazine Photon believes that the optimum solution in economic terms is a power generation mix comprising about 170 GW of installed PV power [PHOTON] in an expansion scenario that sees power being generated solely by wind and solar plants by 2030.

Researchers from Fraunhofer ISE have studied a conceivable German energy system by simulating it over a period of time split into hourly intervals. The system works solely on the basis of renewable energy and incorporates the heating sector's potential for stor-

age and low energy renovation. PV must contribute an installed capacity of 200 GW in order to ensure an economically optimal power generation mix [ISE5].




Figure 43: Scenarios for the share of various energy sources in power production in Germany [ISE3].

A quick look at global energy scenarios reveals a study conducted by Royal Dutch Shell entitled “New Lens Scenarios” [Shell], which describes a dynamic “Oceans” scenario that envisages a global installed PV capacity of 500 GW before 2020 and predicts that PV will grow into the most important primary energy source by 2060 (Figure 44). The International Energy Agency (IEA) predicts that RE in 2016 will overtake the energy production from natural gas and will produce double the amount of that from nuclear power plants worldwide [IEA1].




Figure 44: Primary energy consumption of various sources of power [Shell].

17.2 Energy demand and supply

The traditional energy industry promotes fossil and nuclear energy sources (primary energy), converts them and prepares them for end users. The energy flow diagram in Figure 45 shows how heavily Germany depends on energy imports.




Figure 45: 2013 Energy flow diagram for the Federal Republic of Germany in petajoules (preliminary values) [AGEB2].

In energy conversion and consumption, large inefficiencies exist. For example, the final energy consumed by vehicles is predominantly converted into waste heat via their combustion engines, with even a considerable part of the energy used to drive a vehicle being irreversibly converted into heat when applying the brakes. Householders, who use

around 75 percent of the final energy they consume for heating, could reduce their consumption by half through the introduction of simple heat recovery measures. These examples clearly illustrate that no comparison can be made between current and future energy demands in terms of both quantities and energy sources.




Figure 46: Germany's dependence on the import of raw energy materials 2011




Figure 47: Cost development for the provision of primary energy in Germany [BMWi2]

Figure 47 shows the rising costs of energy imports, estimated to have reached 100 billion euros in 2012.

Figure 48 shows the different proportion of the various energy sources making up Germany's primary energy consumption. The severe lack of efficiency in electricity production using fossil and nuclear power plants results in primary energy losses between 50 and 75 percent. The inefficiency is one reason for the significant contribution of these energy sources in the primary energy mix. Nuclear power plants, for example, work at

an efficiency of about 33 percent [EEBW], while fossil-fuel plants, which are mostly run on coal, have an efficiency of roughly 40 percent. Meanwhile, mineral oil products are used to heat poorly insulated buildings or fuel inefficient vehicle drive mechanisms.




Figure 48: Composition of primary energy consumption in Germany 2013, figures given as percentages (data for previous year in brackets) and are preliminary estimates totaling 13,908 petajoules [AGEB3].

The majority of final energy (36 percent) is used to generate mechanical energy (force) for vehicles and stationary engines (Figure 49), whereby the combustion engines used in road vehicles evidence significant conversion losses.

Space heating accounts for the second largest use of final energy (31 percent) and is accompanied by significant thermal losses due to poor insulation. Cooling is also generated indirectly via mechanical energy. Electric heat pumps are being used more and more to provide hot water and space heating. If, however, largely dimensioned thermal storage is lacking, then the thermal sensitivity of the electric load increases. Due to the absence of significant electric-electric storage capacity in the grid, large power reserves of fossil and nuclear power plants must be made available.




Figure 49: Different proportions of the various energy types making up Germany's final energy consumption in 2010 (data for previous year in brackets) [AGEB4].

Figure 50 provides an example of how energy demand is distributed throughout the course of the year. The energy consumption in road transportation is characterized by base load. The total electricity consumption and the energy needed for hot water drop only slightly in summer. The heating demand correlates negatively with global irradiance, with the highest point of intersection being found in spring.

The monthly distribution of solar and wind power generation is also shown. While around 69 percent of the PV power generated throughout the year is produced in spring and summer (April–September), 62 percent of wind power is generated in autumn and winter.

Figure 50 clearly shows that even without seasonal storage systems, solar power has the potential to cover significant amounts of the electricity, road transport and hot water requirements, provided that complementary energy sources hold the fort in autumn and winter. The potential for covering heating requirements is much lower, however, with spring being the only time of year where this is likely. Furthermore, a combination of solar and wind power may allow power to be generated using renewable sources throughout the year because the amount of wind energy produced falls significantly in spring and summer.

In addition to the regular seasonal fluctuations in PV power generation, irradiance changes significantly over the course of hours, days and weeks. On a local level, significant changes are seen as much as every minute or even second but these fluctuations do not have a bearing on Germany's power grid as a whole.




Figure 50: Rough estimate of the monthly distribution (annual total = 100 percent) of solar power calculated for Freiburg [PVGIS], wind power [DEWI], heating requirements based on the heating degree days (VDI Guideline 2067 and DIN 4713), energy requirements for domestic hot water production, electricity demand [AGEB1] and fuel requirements [MWV].

On the other hand, the energy load also fluctuates during the course of the day. More energy is required during the day than at night, and more on working days than over the weekend or on holidays. When considering load profiles, utilities distinguish between base, intermediate or peak load demands (see section 21.7). Base load corresponds to a power demand of 30–40 GW that remains virtually constant over a 24-hour period. Intermediate load fluctuates slowly and mostly in a periodic manner, while peak load comprises sudden, highly changeable spikes in demand that are greater than the base and intermediate loads.

On sunny days, PV power is already capable of covering most of the peak load seen around midday. In spring and summer, the generation rates of PV plants correlate well with the the load consumption during the day, meaning that the amount of capacity currently installed is sufficient to cover the majority of the peak load on sunny days. The further expansion of PV capacity leads to the midday peak load being covered even on less sunny days, while the midday electricity production on sunny days will cover even part of the base load requirements (see Figure 51), especially on the weekend.




Figure 51 : Power production in 29th week of 2013, showing the current record value of 24 GW PV power generated on Sunday, July 21 with total nominal power of c. 34.5 GW (Chart: B. Burger, Fraunhofer ISE; Data: European Energy Exchange in Leipzig, EEX)

When solar power is available, the energy demand is generally high. At high demand, the electricity price on the energy exchange used to be at its most expensive. Continuing to install new PV capacity over the coming years will not lead to a power surplus, provided that other energy sources are not increased at the same time.

Figure 53 shows what a power generation profile may look like for an expanded PV capacity of 50 GW. By selecting the week that boasted the year's highest amount of solar power production, the graph is able to illustrate the greatest possible impact that PV power could have. The maximum amount of power that can be generated with an installed capacity of 50 GW is around 35 GW. The residual intermediate load (see section 21.7) only comes into play in the afternoon, with peak load occurring in the evening. With increasing expansion of RE, the residual base load disappears.




Figure 52: Average load profile and average monthly PV feed-in profiles in the first half of 2011 [IZES].




Figure 53: Simulated load profile and power generation based on weather data for a sunny week in May for installed capacities of 50 GW PV and 40 GW wind. Peak powers of 35 GW PV and 21 GW wind are generated respectively. (B. Burger, Fraunhofer ISE)

17.3 Compensatory measures

Despite there being no hard and fast rules for integrating intermittent PV power into our energy system on a large scale and in an economically as well as technologically feasible manner, a plethora of complementary measures exist that are suitable for this very purpose. The following sections examine the most important aspects of this in detail.

17.3.1 Keeping PV power production constant

How can the amount of PV power available in the grid be kept at a constant level? One of the simplest approaches is to increase the installation of roof- and ground-mounted PV modules with east/west orientation. Although in comparison to south orientation this results in lower annual yields per module, daily peaks in PV feed-in across Germany last longer, meaning that complementary power plants do not need to be used until the late afternoon (compare Figure 53). Even more effective in achieving this aim are single and dual-axis tracking systems, which in addition to making power production more constant throughout the day, can increase the annual yield by between around 15-35 percent. Compared to non-tracking systems, these PV systems can reduce yield losses that occur due to higher operating temperatures or snow cover.




Figure 54: Yield development throughout the course of a day of PV plants installed in a variety of different ways, calculated using the software PVSol on a predominantly clear July day in Freiburg.

Increased on-site consumption and the associated savings due to less purchased electricity mean that the somewhat higher costs of electricity production due to more elaborate systems pays off already, especially for commercial consumers. Also the measures given in section 14.3 to increase the number of full-load hours contribute to the stability of the PV electricity supply.

17.3.2 Complementary operation of adjustable power plants

It is technically possible to operate, design or retrofit many fossil fuel power plants in such a way so as they are able to serve both base and intermediate load requirements (compare Figure 55).

Partial load operation and any associated retrofitting increases the power production costs. Gas-fired power plants, in particular, are highly suitable for fluctuating loads. In combination with combined heat and power systems (CHP), natural gas power plants have a very high efficiency of 80-90 %. Gas power plants based on gas motors have only a fraction of the investment costs (€/kW) of combined cycle (gas and steam) power plants (CCPP).

However, since PV is already noticeably reducing the midday electricity demand and the associated price peak on the energy exchange, gas-fired plants do not currently constitute a worthwhile investment. Most of the natural gas must be imported. In 2013, the gas import quota was 90%, of which 38% was imported from Russia [AGEB6].

Nuclear and older lignite coal-fired power plants have the most difficulty with flexible operation. The expansion of RE capacity means that these type of plants will be pushed out in the long term. The sooner they make way for more flexible power plants, the faster the transformation to PV and wind power shall succeed.




Figure 55: Power plant availability [VGB].

Existing hydroelectric power plants (see section 17.3.8 for information on pumped storage) may make contributions to the controllability of the energy supply when operating complementarily to PV power. However, in doing so, they must consider the interests of the shipping industry and the need to protect the environment. While they contributed around 4.5 GW of rated power and roughly 20 GWh of production in 2011 [BMW1], there is little scope for these levels to be improved on in the future.

Provided their operators provide the required storage systems and are ready to accept a lower utilization rate, biomass power plants (5.9 GW of installed capacity at the end of 2013 [BMW1]) have the potential to operate complementarily to PV power plants.

CHP plants ranging from micro systems built for detached houses (micro combined heat and power) to large-scale plants for district heating networks are excellently suited to complementary operation alongside PV, provided that those managing these CHP plants take both heating and electricity demands into consideration. About 20 GW of CHP capacity was connected to the grid in Germany in 2010 [Gores]. Using combustion or Stirling engines to generate mechanical power, even micro CHP plants are capable of achieving electrical efficiencies up to 25 percent and overall efficiencies of up to 90 percent [LICHTBLICK].

While large-scale thermal storage systems are essential for ensuring that CHP plants operate according to electricity demand, such systems are missing from most existing plants to date. At times when high amounts of power are generated from renewable sources, such storage systems are generally able to be charged via electric heat pumps and during rare peaks in power, they may also be charged via less efficient heat rods. Finally, it is also technically possible to operate gas-fired CHP plants using renewable gas. As a result, CHP plants equipped with storage systems play a key role in switching our energy system to one based on RE.

17.3.3 Decreasing energy consumption

Measures for improving the energy efficiency in households and in the industry are among the most cost-effective for reducing the residual load. The Stiftung Warentest found, for example, that a house, which is equipped solely with older appliances, uses twice as much electricity as a comparable house with energy saving devices [TEST]. Especially effective are measures that reduce the nighttime electricity consumption. In the night, solar electricity is available only through storage systems which are, in comparison, costly and less efficient than direct use.

17.3.4 Adapting consumption habits

Raising consumer awareness, the use of timers and, in the future, control signals from the provider (grid or one's own roof) indicating favorable times of use for household appliances as well as cooling devices with increased thermal mass will help change power consumption patterns of household appliances so that they are better correlated to PV (and wind by grid control) production (Figure 56). Washing machines, dryers and cooling devices with storage can be of service to the grid and – in the case of one's own PV array – optimized for self-consumption. Some of the electric appliances must be able to communicate with the PV array on the roof or the electricity supplier. This communication port can increase the self-consumption of commercial businesses by a large amount.




Figure 56: Energy consumption of an average household not including hot water production [RWE].

On working days, many commercial users are able to achieve a high fraction of self-consumption that can be increased further by employing PV modules fitted with tracking systems.

Regardless of whether solar power is generated on consumers' own roofs, a special "solar rate" applicable during midday would encourage consumers to shift their power consumption into this period. Appliance manufacturers would soon respond to this and develop corresponding programming options.

There are also opportunities to adapt the consumption habits of energy-intensive industrial enterprises. These will only be introduced, once the cheaper daytime power is more frequently available, i.e. when the installed PV capacity increases further. Often investments are necessary in order to enlarge the capacity of energy intensive process steps, by decreasing capacity, and in order to increase the amount of storage.

The same applies to cold stores, grocery stores and air-conditioning units that are already equipped with a certain level of thermal storage capacity so that the addition of storage space is cost effective in comparison.

Self-consumption (or captive use) is advantageous because it reduces the need for electricity transport and respectively for balancing the electric grid. Since the PV electricity produced by private and commercial consumers themselves costs much less than the electricity from the grid, this serves as a natural incentive to match one's consumption to the PV production.

17.3.5 Balanced expansion of PV and wind power capacities

In Germany, weather patterns show a negative correlation between the PV and onshore wind power generated on both the hourly and monthly scales (Figure 29 and Figure 30). In terms of hourly fluctuations, the total amount of electricity generated from PV and onshore wind rarely exceeds 50 percent of the total rated power, while in terms of

monthly changes, the total electricity generated by both sources is distributed more evenly than the individual amounts generated by each source.

Storage demands will drop if the capacity of installed PV and onshore wind power continue to remain about equal.

17.3.6 Grid expansion

17.3.6.1 *National grid expansion*

Studies conducted by the Fraunhofer Institute for Wind Energy and Energy System Technology (IWES) and ECOFYS on behalf of BSW have shown that increasing the installed PV capacity to 70 GW by 2020 shall incur costs of approximately 1.1 billion euros in terms of grid expansion alone [IWES], [ECOFYS]. The equivalent annual costs of this grid expansion make up roughly ten percent of the routine yearly expenditure for grid strengthening. The studies took into account expanding the low-voltage grid using PV plants that provide ancillary services (e.g. voltage scheduling through reactive power compensation) and partially equipping local distribution transformers with regulating devices.

17.3.6.2 *Strengthening the European grid*

The German electricity grid is part of the larger European grid. All neighboring countries have some controllable power plants in their fleet and also experience high levels of demand during peak hours, e.g. midday. Strengthening cross-border interconnection capacity (presently ca. 20 GW) and thus European electricity trade will contribute significantly to smoothing out the fluctuations in PV production.

Switzerland has a hydroelectric capacity of around 2 GW, while Austria boasts roughly 4 GW and France approximately 25 GW of hydroelectric power. "As of June 27, 2012, a total of 9,229 MW of pumped storage capacity was connected to the German power grid (net rated power in generator mode). This comprised 6,352 MW in Germany, 1,781 MW in Austria and 1,096 MW in Luxembourg. The capacity of Germany's pumped-storage power plants currently amounts to 37,713 MWh." [Bundesreg]

Norway has about 30 GW hydroelectricity [Prognos] with potential for expansion. By 2018, an underwater cable with a length of 600 km and a transmission power of 1.4 GW will be installed to create a direct connection to the German electricity grid. The installed capacity of the hydroelectric power in Switzerland and Austria are 12 GW and 9 GW respectively.

| | AT | CH | DE | NO | SE |
|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Capacity of hydroelectric power plants [MW] | 12,919 | 13,728 | 9,790 | 31,004 | 16,735 |
| - Hydro storage power plants | 3,744 | 8,078 | 335 | 23,405 | 10,802 |
| - Pumped-storage | 3,781 | 1,839 | 6,521 | 1,344 | 108 |
| - Run-of-river power plants | 5,395 | 3,810 | 2,934 | 6,255 | 5,825 |

Figure 57 : Total power of hydroelectric stations in selected countries, status in 2010 [Prognos]. The capacity given for each of type of power plant differs according to the data source

17.3.7 Switching consumers with electric storage to electrically operable systems

Through the conversion of drive systems, key groups of consumers can be supplied with electric power. With storage facilities, consumers are able to accept electricity from intermittent PV and wind power as it is generated. This means that all of the power produced, even during times of temporary peaks greater than the momentary demand, can be utilized. This allows for the further expansion of PV and wind, leading to higher coverage rates from these energy sources in the energy supply.

17.3.7.1 Heat sector

While space and water heating is still carried out today primarily by burning fossil fuels, electric heat pumps with thermal storage can also be used for this purpose. Heat pump efficiency (electric energy to heat) is given by the seasonal energy efficiency ratio (SEER) and stands, independent of technology and load, at around 300 percent. Once converted into heat, the energy can be stored efficiently and cost effectively.

The provision of hot water with a combination of heat pumps, thermal storage and photovoltaics can achieve attractive utilization ratios for PV systems in Germany, especially when the PV system is mounted on steep south-facing roofs or facades. Space heating with PV is more difficult due to the weak correlation between the annual supply and demand. Large seasonal thermal storage is required in order to use a majority of the PV electricity for this purpose. Due to the seasonal availability, it makes more sense to cover the heating demand with wind and suitably sized thermal storage. Phase change materials offer much higher storage capacities than sensible heat storage.

For fluctuating energy sources without appreciable marginal costs, like wind and solar, it is not economical to design the system to meet 100 percent demand at the highest efficiency. At rare times, periods of peak electricity generation must be handled with simple measures, for example, directly converting electricity into heat using heating rods (albeit inefficient) or as a last case, shutting down the system. This so-called "capping" reduces the annual electricity production by only a few percent and is therefore not systematically important.

17.3.7.2 Mobility

Motorized road transport burns fossil fuels at an extremely low efficiency with most of the energy disappearing as waste heat in the motor and in the brake system. Electric vehicles run efficiently, also making use of the mechanical energy of braking. In particular, the batteries can be used to stabilize the grid through a controllable charging/discharging. For example, when employees provide charging stations for their commuting employees, the connected car batteries can assist in reducing the peak in PV electricity production during midday. Many car manufacturers already offer vehicles that are purely electric with driving ranges up to ca. 200 km (e.g. 199 km range for the Nissan Leaf with 24 kWh storage capacity and 17.3 kWh/100 km combined consumption) and provide a realistic option for most commuters. According to the plans of the German federal government, one million electric vehicles shall be on the road in Germany by 2020. With a charging capacity of ca. 40 kW per vehicle (chargers presently in development), 25,000 vehicles plugged into the electricity grid would provide one gigawatt of controllable consumption. However, revolutionizing the way we fuel our personal means of transport has really taken off on two wheels: More than one million electric bikes have been sold in Germany, as compared to ca. 86,000 hybrid cars and ca. 24,000 purely electric cars as of the end of 2014.

17.3.8 Energy storage

17.3.8.1 Distributed Storage

Small, stationary batteries at home allow the on-site consumption of PV power to continue into the evening, increasing it significantly (Figure 58).




Figure 58: Percent of on-site consumption in dependence of the battery capacity and PV array power for a single-family home with an annual electricity consumption of 4,700 kWh. [Quasch]

A study from Fraunhofer ISE shows that systems with grid-optimized operation can reduce the grid load by decreasing the grid feed-in at peak times as well as the electricity purchased in the evenings (Figure 59). With the use of storage systems, more PV can be installed. "Load flow calculations showed that a grid-optimized PV/battery operation reduces the feed-in peak of all systems by about 40%. Results indicate that 66 % more PV/battery could be installed as long as these systems also operate using a grid-optimized feed-in strategy." [ISE7]




Figure 59: Comparison of the conventional and grid-optimized system operation [ISE7]

Electric vehicles, which are connected to the grid and must not be immediately available to drive, can also serve as electricity/electricity storage. The heat pump with thermal storage was already mentioned (See 17.3.7).

17.3.8.2 Centralized Storage

Centralized storage systems exist only in the form of pumped storage at present. The currently installed pumped storage capacity in the German grid stands at almost 38 GWh, while rated power is approximately 6.4 GW and the average efficiency value is 70 percent (without transmission losses). As a comparison, the aforementioned storage capacity corresponds to the yield generated by German PV power plants in the space of fewer than two full-load hours. Around 10 GW of power shall be available by 2019 if only some of the projects currently in the planning stage are realized.

Research is currently being conducted into storing electrical energy in adiabatic compressed air energy storage systems (CAES). The promising conversion and storage of solar and wind power in the form of hydrogen or, where appropriate, methane is currently being scaled and tested, but as of yet no noteworthy capacities exist. Meanwhile, the conversion of renewable power to gas will open up enormous storage possibilities that have already been put in place. The gas grid itself and underground and over-

ground storage systems are able to accommodate more than 200 TWh of energy (equivalent to 720 petajoules).




Figure 60: Possible ways of converting and storing PV power with indicative data on efficiency values.

The conversion of power into renewable gas also has the potential to replace fossil fuels in vehicles, albeit with a low level of efficiency. Figure 60 presents an overview of possible ways of converting and storing PV power.

18. Do PV modules contain toxic substances?

That depends on the technology and materials used.

18.1 Wafer-based modules

The silicon wafer-based modules (approximately 90 percent of the market share in 2013) produced by many manufacturers often contain lead in the cell metallization layer (around 2 grams of lead per 60-cell module) and in the solder used (approximately 10 grams of lead per 60-cell module). It is possible to completely substitute the lead for harmless materials at a low additional cost. Other than lead, wafer-based modules do not contain any known toxic substances.

18.2 Thin-film modules

Cadmium telluride (CdTe) thin-film modules (approximately eight percent of the market share in 2010) contain cadmium (Cd) and the technology behind this type of module does not allow for this material to be substituted. Alternative thin-film modules containing little or no Cd are based on amorphous silicon or copper indium selenide (CIS). CIS solar cells contain selenium, which is classified as toxic, and has a particularly poisonous effect when it is oxidized (e.g. following a fire).

18.3 Solar glass

All conventional solar modules require a front cover made of glass. The glass shall have a very low absorption in the spectral range between 380 and 1100 nm, conform to solar glass quality. Many glass manufactures increase the transmission by adding antimony (Sb) to the glass melt. If this glass is disposed of in waste dumps, antimony can seep into the ground water. Studies indicate that antimony compounds have a similar effect as arsenic compounds.

18.4 Take-back schemes and recycling

PV producers set up a manufacturer-independent recycling system in June 2010 (PV Cycle), which currently has more than 300 members. The version of the European WEEE Directive (Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment Directive) which came into force on August 13, 2012 must be implemented in all EU states by the end of February 2014. This directive makes it compulsory for manufacturers to take back and recycle at least 85% of their PV modules free of charge. In October 2015, the electric and electronic device law came into effect. It classified PV modules as household devices and set down provisions for take-back obligations as well as financing.

19. Are there enough raw materials available for PV production?

19.1 Wafer-based modules

Wafer-based modules do not require any raw materials which could become limited in the foreseeable future. The active cells are fundamentally composed of silicon, aluminum and silver. Silicon accounts for 26 percent of the mass of the earth's crust, meaning that it is virtually inexhaustible. While aluminum is also readily available, the use of silver poses the most problems. The PV industry currently uses approximately **1,500 metric tonnes** of silver annually [Photon Int. 2011-08], corresponding to almost **seven percent** of production in 2010. In the future, the silver in solar cells will be used more efficiently and replaced by copper as much as possible.

19.2 Thin-film modules

The availability of raw materials depends on the technology being used.

Contradictory statements have been made concerning the availability of tellurium and indium for CdTe and CIS modules respectively. No raw material shortages have been foreseen for thin-film modules made from silicon.

20. Do PV plants increase the risk of fire?

20.1 Can defective PV plants cause a fire?

Yes, as is the case with all electric installations.

Certain faults in the components of PV plants that conduct electricity may cause electric arcs to form. If flammable material, like roofing material or wood, lies in close vicinity to these arcs, then a fire may break out depending on how easily the material ignites. In comparison to AC installations, the DC power of solar cells may even serve as a stabilizing factor for any fault currents that occur. The current can only be stopped by disconnecting the circuit or preventing irradiation reaching any of the modules, meaning that PV plants must be constructed carefully.

With more than 1.4 million PV plants in Germany, the combination of all of these factors has been proven to have caused a fire to break out in just a few cases. The majority of the fires started as a result of faults in the cabling and connections.

"Using qualified skilled workers to ensure that existing regulations are adhered to is the best form of fire protection. To date, 0.006 percent of all PV plants have caused a fire resulting in serious damage. Over the past 20 years, 350 solar systems caught fire, with the PV system being at fault in 120 of these cases. In 75 cases, the damage was severe and in 10 cases, the entire building was burned to the ground.

The most important characteristic of PV systems is that they produce direct current. Since they continue to generate electricity for as long as light falls on their modules, they cannot simply be turned off at will. For example, if a low-quality or poorly installed module connector becomes loose, the current flow is not always interrupted immediately, potentially resulting in an electric arc, which, in the worst case scenario, may cause a fire to break out. Accordingly, investigations are being carried out on how to prohibit the occurrence of electric arcs. In addition, detectors are being developed that sound an alarm as soon as only a small electric arc occurs.

PV plants do not present a greater fire risk than other technical facilities. Sufficient regulations are in place that ensure the electrical safety of PV systems and it is imperative that these are followed. Fires often start when systems are fitted by inexperienced pieceworkers. Weak points are inevitable when solar module connectors are installed using combination pliers instead of tools designed especially for this purpose or when incompatible connectors are used, and system operators should not cut costs in the wrong places.

In addition to technical improvements, control regulations are vital. At present, system installers themselves are permitted to confirm that their installations were carried out in compliance with regulations but experts now recommend that acceptance tests be performed by third parties. It has also been suggested that privately owned PV systems are

subjected to a compulsory, regular safety test similar to that performed on commercial plants every four years." [ISE6]

20.2 Do PV plants pose a danger to firefighters?

Yes, as is also the case with many systems fitted with live cables.

Standing at least a few meters away from the fire when extinguishing a fire from outside of the building protects firefighters from electric shocks. This safe distance is normally given for all roof-mounted installations. The greatest risk for firefighters arises when extinguishing a fire from inside the building in areas where live, scorched cables connected to the PV plant come into contact with water or the firefighters themselves. To minimize this risk, the industry is developing emergency switches that use safety relays to separate the modules from their DC connection in close vicinity to the roof.

In Germany, no firefighter has to date been injured by PV power while putting out a fire. An incident widely reported in the press confused solar thermal collectors with PV modules and no PV plant was fitted to the house in question whatsoever.

"Comprehensive training courses for the fire brigade could eliminate any uncertainties firefighters may have. As with every electrical installation, depending on the type of electric arc it is also possible to extinguish a fire using water from a distance of one to five meters. Based on investigations to date, all of the claims stating that the fire brigade could not extinguish a house fire due to the PV system have been found to be false." [ISE6]

20.3 Do PV modules prevent firefighters from extinguishing fires externally from the roof?

Yes.

The second "roof covering" created by the PV modules hinders the ability to extinguish the fire, as the water simply drains away. According to the fire brigade, objects damaged by a fire that needs to be extinguished in this way can rarely be saved, i.e. the damage has to a large extent already been done and is irreversible before the PV plant impedes the firefighters' ability to put out the fire.

20.4 Are toxic emissions released when PV modules burn?

Modules containing cadmium are seen as being the most likely to present health risks. The Bavarian Environment Agency (Bayerisches Landesamt für Umwelt) has calculated that the dispersion of fumes following a fire involving CdTe modules does not pose a serious risk for the surrounding area and general public [LFU].

For wafer-based modules, the rear side foils can contain fluoropolymers, which themselves are not poisonous. In a fire at high temperatures, however, they can decompose. Upon examination, the Bavarian Environment Agency came to the conclusion that dur-

ing a fire, conflagration gases other than fluoropolymers play a more critical role in defining the potential danger.

21. Appendix: Terminology

21.1 EEG surcharge

"The EEG surcharge (EEG-Umlage in German) is the portion of the electricity price that must be paid by the end user to support renewable energy. It results from the equalization scheme for renewable energy sources, which is described in the Renewable Energy Act (EEG). The EEG provides incentives for plants that generate power from renewable energy and which otherwise could not be commissioned as a result of the market situation. Hydroelectric power plants, landfill gas, sewage gas, mine gas, biomass, geothermal energy, wind power and solar power are supported.

Several stages are used to determine how the costs associated with promoting renewable electricity are allocated to the end users. In the **first stage**, plant operators, who generate power from renewable energy, are guaranteed a fixed feed-in tariff for all power produced by their plant." [Bundestag]

The level of this feed-in tariff is based on the levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) for PV plants installed at that time and is guaranteed for 20 years.

"The grid operators, who connect these renewable plants to their grids and who also reimburse the plant operators for the fed-in power, transmit the power to the responsible transmission system operator (TSO), who reimburse them in turn (**second stage**). In the **third stage**, the renewable energy is distributed proportionally between Germany's four transmission system operators (TSO), compensating regional differences in renewable energy generation.

The Equalization Scheme Ordinance (Ausgleichsmechanismusverordnung, AusglMechV) dated July 17, 2009 resulted in changes being made to the **fourth step** of the remuneration and reimbursement scheme for renewable energy. Until these amendments were adopted, the renewable power generated was simply transmitted (via the TSOs) at the price of the feed-in tariff to the energy supply companies, who sell the power. Now, however, TSOs are required to put the power generated from renewables onto the EEX (spot market). The energy supply companies, which ultimately transmit the power to the end customers, can obtain power from the market regardless of how much renewable energy is fed into the grid. This gives them greater planning security and also allows them to save costs. As a result, the costs of the EEG promotions remain first and foremost with the TSOs.

The costs related to the EEG promotion is calculated based on the difference between the rate of return generated by the renewable power put on the market (EEX) and the feed-in tariffs paid to plant operators. (...)" [Bundestag]

These costs are then distributed over the total energy consumption – the so-called EEG surcharge, which is apportioned to the end consumers by the electricity supply companies. "The Equalization Scheme Ordinance (AusglMechV) stipulates that the TSOs set the level of the EEG surcharge on October 15 of each year for the following year. The calculation of the surcharge is subject to review by the German Federal Network Agency. (...) The EEG surcharge is limited to 0.05 €-cts/kWh for energy-intensive companies." [Bundestag].

As a result, energy-intensive industrial enterprises which spend a high proportion of their costs on power are largely exempt from the EEG surcharge.

21.2 Module efficiency

Unless stated otherwise, module efficiency is given in terms of nominal efficiency. Under standard test conditions (STC), it is calculated in terms of the relationship between the amount of electricity generated and the level of irradiation on the module's total surface area. STC conditions imply a module temperature of 25 °C, vertical irradiance of 1000 W/m² and a standard solar irradiance spectrum. During actual operation, conditions are normally so different from these standard conditions that efficiency varies.

21.3 Rated power of a PV power plant

The rated power of a power plant is the ideal DC output of the module array under STC, i.e. the product of the generator surface area, standard irradiance (1000 W/m²) and nominal efficiency of the modules.

21.4 Specific yield

The specific yield [kWh/kWp] of a PV plant is the relationship between the useful yield (alternating current yield) over a certain period of time (often one year) and the installed (STC) module capacity. The useful yield is influenced by actual operating conditions, such as module temperature, solar radiation intensity, angle of solar incidence, spectral deviation from the standard spectrum, shading, snow cover, transmission losses, conversion losses in the inverter (and where applicable in the transformer) and operational failures.

Manufacturer data on module output under STC may vary from the actual values. Therefore, it is imperative that information on tolerances are checked.

The specific yield is generally higher in sunny locations but it is not dependent on nominal module efficiency.

21.5 System efficiency

The system efficiency of a PV plant is the relationship between the useful yield (alternating current yield) and the total amount of irradiance on the surface area of the PV modules. The nominal module efficiency affects system efficiency.

21.6 Performance ratio

The performance ratio (PR) is often used to compare the efficiency of grid-connected PV plants at different locations with various module types.

Performance ratio is defined as the relationship between a plant's useful yield (alternating current yield) and ideal yield (the product of the total amount of irradiance on the generator surface area and nominal module efficiency).

New, carefully planned plants achieve annual PR values of between 80 and 90 percent.

21.7 Base load, intermediate load, peak load, grid load and residual load

"Power demands fluctuate throughout the course of the day, generally peaking during the day and falling to a minimum at night between midnight and 6:00am. Power demand development is depicted as a load curve or load profile. In traditional energy technology, the load curve is divided into three sections as follows:

1. base load
2. intermediate load
3. peak load

Base load describes the load line that remains almost constant over a 24-hour period. It is covered by base-load power plants, such as nuclear power plants, lignite coal-fired power plants and, for the time being, run-of-the-river power plants.

Intermediate load describes self-contained peaks in power demand which are easy to forecast and refers to the majority of power needed during the course of a day in addition to base load. Intermediate load is covered by intermediate-load plants, such as hard coal-fired power plants and combined cycle power plants powered by methane with oil-fired power plants being used now and again. Peak load refers to the remaining power demands, generally coming into play when demand is at its very highest. Peak load is handled by peak-load power plants, such as gas turbines and pumped-storage power plants. These can be switched to nominal output within an extremely short space of time, compensating for fluctuations and covering peaks in load." (...) "Grid load refers to the amount of electricity taken from the grid, while residual load is the grid load less the amount of renewable energy fed in." [ISET1]

21.8 Gross and nets power consumption

The gross power consumption is calculated as the sum of the national electricity production and the balance of power exchanged between bordering countries. It includes the self-consumption from power plants, storage losses, grid losses and unknowns. In 2013, the sum of all losses amounted to 12% of the gross power consumption [AGEB6], whereby only the storage losses were listed at 1.3%.

Net power consumption is the amount of electrical energy (final energy) used by the end consumer. PV plants predominantly generate energy decentrally when electricity demand is at a peak and the PV plant's self-consumption does not reduce the PV yield by a noteworthy amount. Instead of following the usual method of comparing output with gross power consumption, it is plausible for PV to compare power output with net power consumption.

21.9 External costs [DLR1]

“External costs, as defined within the context of the technological external effects, prearise as a result of damage inflicted on the environment, climate and human health due to pollutants and noise emissions caused by economic activities. These include:

- damage to flora and fauna, materials and human health caused by air pollution; the majority of damage caused by air pollution is attributable to converting and using energy (including transportation).
- emerging effects of climate change caused by the increasing accumulation of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and its consequences; in Germany, 85 percent of these gases are emitted by the energy sector.
- damage caused by pollution to bodies of water, soil contamination, waste and noise pollution. As this study concentrates solely on classic airborne pollutants and greenhouse gases generated as a result of converting energy, these are not dealt with further.”

22. Appendix: Conversion tables [EEBW]

Vorsätze und Vorzeichen

| | | | |
|---|------|------------------|------------------|
| k | Kilo | 10 ³ | Tausend |
| M | Mega | 10 ⁶ | Million (Mio.) |
| G | Giga | 10 ⁹ | Milliarde (Mrd.) |
| T | Tera | 10 ¹² | Billion (Bill.) |
| P | Peta | 10 ¹⁵ | Billiarde (Brd.) |

Umrechnungen

| | | PJ | GWh | Mio. t SKE | Mio. t RÖE |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|------------|------------|
| 1 PJ | Petajoule | 1 | 277,78 | 0,034 | 0,024 |
| 1 GWh | Gigawattstunde | 0,0036 | 1 | 0,00012 | 0,000086 |
| 1 Mio. t SKE | Mio. Tonnen Steinkohleeinheit | 29,31 | 8.141 | 1 | 0,70 |
| 1 Mio. t RÖE | Mio. Tonnen Rohöleeinheit | 41,87 | 11.630 | 1,43 | 1 |

Typische Eigenschaften von Kraftstoffen

| | Dichte [kg/l] | Heizwert [kWh/kg] | Heizwert [kWh/l] | Heizwert [MJ/kg] | Heizwert [MJ/l] |
|------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Biodiesel | 0,88 | 10,3 | 9,1 | 37,1 | 32,6 |
| Bioethanol | 0,79 | 7,4 | 5,9 | 26,7 | 21,1 |
| Rapsöl | 0,92 | 10,4 | 9,6 | 37,6 | 34,6 |
| Diesel | 0,84 | 12,0 | 10,0 | 43,1 | 35,9 |
| Benzin | 0,76 | 12,2 | 9,0 | 43,9 | 32,5 |

Typische Eigenschaften von festen und gasförmigen Energieträgern

| | Dichte [kg/l] bzw. [kg/m ³] | Heizwert [kWh/kg] | Heizwert [kWh/l] bzw. [kWh/m ³] | Heizwert [MJ/kg] | Heizwert [MJ/l] bzw. [MJ/m ³] |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Steinkohle | - | 8,3 - 10,6 | - | 30,0 - 38,1 | - |
| Braunkohle | - | 2,6 - 6,2 | - | 9,2 - 22,2 | - |
| Erdgas H (in m ³) | 0,76 | 11,6 | 8,8 | 41,7 | 31,7 |
| Heizöl EL | 0,86 | 11,9 | 10,2 | 42,8 | 36,8 |
| Biogas (in m ³) | 1,20 | 4,2 - 6,3 | 5,0 - 7,5 | 15,0 - 22,5 | 18,0 - 27,0 |
| Holzpелlets | 0,65 | 4,9 - 5,4 | 3,2 - 3,5 | 17,5 - 19,5 | 11,4 - 12,7 |

23. Appendix: Abbreviations

| | |
|----------------|--|
| CHP plant | Combined heat and power plant – a plant that uses combustion engines or gas turbines to generate electrical energy and heat |
| BMU | German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety |
| BSW | German Solar Industry Association |
| CCS | Carbon dioxide capture and storage – segregation of CO ₂ from power plant emissions and storage in geological formations |
| RE | Renewable energy |
| EEG | Act on Granting Priority to Renewable Energy Sources (Renewable Energy Sources Act, EEG) |
| ESC | Energy supply company |
| IEA | International Energy Agency |
| ICT | Information and communications technology |
| CHP | Combined heat and power – the principle of simultaneously generating mechanical energy (ultimately converted into electrical energy) and useful heat |
| PV | Photovoltaics |
| W _p | Watt peak – rated power of a PV module or array |

24. Appendix: Sources

| | |
|-------|--|
| AGEB1 | Energieverbrauch in Deutschland - Daten für das 1.-3. Quartal 2011, Working Group on Energy Balances (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen e.V., November 2011) |
| AGEB2 | Energieflussbild 2013 für die Bundesrepublik Deutschland in Petajoule, AGEB, Status September 2014 |
| AGEB3 | AGEB, Press Service, 9/2011 |
| AGEB4 | AGEB, Press Service, 1/2012 |
| AGEB5 | Bruttostromerzeugung in Deutschland von 1990 bis 2015 nach Energieträgern, AGEB, http://www.ag-energiebilanzen.de/ , 28 January 2016 |
| AGEB6 | Energieverbrauch in Deutschland in Jahr 2013, AGEB, März 2014 |
| AGEB7 | Wirtterung treibt Energieverbrauch, AGEB, Pressedienst 3/2014 |
| BAFA | Hintergrundinformationen zur Besonderen Ausgleichsregelung, Antragsverfahren 2013 auf Begrenzung der EEG-Umlage 2014, Hrsg.: Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit (BMU) und Bundesamt für Wirtschaft und Ausfuhrkontrolle (BAFA), 15 October 2013 |
| BDEW1 | Durchschnittliche Ausnutzungsdauer der Kraftwerke im Jahr 2007 in Stunden, as of September 2010 |
| BDEW2 | Erneuerbare Energien und das EEG: Zahlen, Fakten, Grafiken (2013); BDEW Bundesverband der Energie- und Wasserwirtschaft e.V., 31 January 2013 |

| | |
|-----------|--|
| BDEW3 | BDEW-Strompreisanalyse Juni 2014, Haushalte und Industrie, Berlin, 20. Juni 2014 |
| Beck | M. Beck, G. Bopp, A. Goetzberger, T. Obergfell, C. Reise, S. Schindele, Combining PV and Food Crops to Agrophotovoltaic – Optimization of Orientation and Harvest, 27th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference, Frankfurt, Germany, September 24–28, 2012 |
| BEE1 | Hintergrundpapier zur EEG-Umlage 2014, Bundesverband Erneuerbare Energie e.V. (BEE), 15. October 2013 |
| BMU1 | Erneuerbare Energien in Zahlen, Nationale und internationale Entwicklung, German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMU), July 2012 |
| BMU3 | Forschungsjahrbuch Erneuerbare Energien 2011, German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMU), July 2012 |
| BMU4 | Entwicklung der erneuerbaren Energien in Deutschland im Jahr 2012, Grafiken und Tabellen, Februar 2013 |
| BMWi1 | Gesamtausgabe der Energiedaten - Datensammlung des BMWi, as of Jan. 12. 2016 |
| BMWi2 | Die Energiewende in Deutschland – Mit sicherer, bezahlbarer und umweltschonender Energie ins Jahr 2050, BMWi, February 2012 |
| BNA | Evaluierungsbericht zur Ausgleichsmechanismusverordnung, German Federal Network Agency, March 2012 |
| BNA2 | Bundesnetzagentur legt Eigenkapitalrenditen für Investitionen in die Strom- und Gasnetze fest, Pressemitteilung der Bundesnetzagentur vom 2. November 2011 |
| BSW | Statistische Zahlen der deutschen Solarstrombranche (Photovoltaik), German Solar Industry Association (BSW-Solar), June 2015 |
| Bundestag | EEG-Umlage 2010, German Parliament, Scientific Services, No. 21/10, March 25, 2010 |
| Bundesreg | Antwort der Bundesregierung auf die Kleine Anfrage der Abgeordneten Oliver Krischer, Hans-Josef Fell, Bärbel Höhn, weiterer Abgeordneter und der Fraktion BÜNDNIS 90/DIE GRÜNEN – printed material 17/10018 – |
| DEWI | Energiewirtschaftliche Planung für die Netzintegration von Windenergie in Deutschland an Land und Offshore bis zum Jahr 2020, Studie im Auftrag der Deutschen Energie-Agentur GmbH (dena), February 2005 |
| DIW | Erneuerbare Energien: Überschüsse sind ein lösbares Problem, DIW Wochenbericht Nr. 34/2013 |
| DIW2 | Verminderte Kohleverstromung könnte zeitnah einen relevanten Beitrag zum deutschen Klimaschutzziel leisten, DIW Wochenbericht Nr. 47.2014 |
| DLR1 | Externe Kosten der Stromerzeugung aus erneuerbaren Energien im Vergleich zur Stromerzeugung aus fossilen Energieträgern, Gutachten im Rahmen von Beratungsleistungen für das Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit, May 2007 |

| | |
|--------|--|
| DLR2 | M. O'Sullivan (DLR), U. Lehr (GWS), D. Edler (DIW), Bruttobeschäftigung durch erneuerbare Energien in Deutschland und verringerte fossile Brennstoffimporte durch erneuerbare Energien und Energieeffizienz, Zulieferung für den Monitoringbericht 2015, Stand: September 2015 |
| DOE | Electric Power Monthly, U.S. Department of Energy, October 2013 |
| DWD | Wolfgang Riecke, Bereitstellung von historischen Globalstrahlungsdaten für die Photovoltaik, Second Symposium on Energy Meteorology, April 2011 |
| ECOFYS | Abschätzung der Kosten für die Integration großer Mengen an Photovoltaik in die Niederspannungsnetze und Bewertung von Optimierungspotenzialen, ECOFYS, March 2012 |
| EEBW | Erneuerbare Energien in Baden-Württemberg 2011, Ministry of the Environment, Climate Protection and the Energy Sector of Baden-Württemberg, November 2012 |
| EEG | Gesetz für den Ausbau erneuerbarer Energien (Erneuerbare-Energien-Gesetz- EEG 2014), Nicht-amtliche Lesefassung des EEG in der ab 11. August 2014 geltenden Fassung, http://www.bmwi.de/DE/Themen/energie,did=646214.html |
| EEX | Positionspapier der European Energy Exchange und EPEX SPTO, February 2014 |
| EPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency, downloaded on 9.7.2013 from http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/science/causes.html#GreenhouseRole |
| EPIA | EPIA Sustainability Working Group Fact Sheet, May 13, 2011 |
| FÖS1 | Externe Kosten der Atomenergie und Reformvorschläge zum Atomhaftungsrecht, Hintergrundpapier zur Dokumentation von Annahmen, Methoden und Ergebnissen, Green Budget Germany (Forum Ökologisch-Soziale Marktwirtschaft e.V.), September 2012 |
| FÖS2 | Was Strom wirklich kostet - Vergleich der staatlichen Förderungen und gesamtgesellschaftlichen Kosten von konventionellen und erneuerbaren Energien, Studie im Auftrag von Greenpeace Energy eG und dem Bundesverband WindEnergie e.V. (BWE), Forum Ökologisch-Soziale Marktwirtschaft e.V. (FÖS), August 2012 |
| FÖS3 | Strompreise in Europa und Wettbewerbsfähigkeit der stromintensiven Industrie, Kurzanalyse im Auftrag der Bundestagsfraktion BÜNDIS 90/Die Grünen, Forum Ökologisch-soziale Marktwirtschaft e.V., January 2013 |
| FVEE1 | Energiekonzept 2050 - Eine Vision für ein nachhaltiges Energiekonzept auf Basis von Energieeffizienz und 100% erneuerbaren Energien“, German Research Association for Renewable Energy (Forschungsverbund Erneuerbare Energien, FVEE), June 2010, chart by B. Burger and updated on November 28, 2011 |
| FVEE2 | Ökonomische Aspekte eines neuen Stromsystemdesigns, FVEE Position paper, Forschungsverbund Erneuerbare Energien (FVEE), June 2013 |
| Gores | Sabine Gores, Kraft-Wärme-Kopplung in Deutschland – Entwicklung im Zeit- |

| | |
|------|--|
| | raum 2003-2010 und mögliche Ausbaupfade 2020/2030, CHP Workshop, November 16, 2011 |
| IEA1 | Medium-Term Renewable Energy Market Report 2013 – Market trends and projections to 2018, International Energy Agency (IEA), July 2013 |
| IEA2 | Redrawing the Energy-Climate Map, World Energy Outlook Special Report. International Energy Agency (IEA), June 2013 |
| IEA3 | Energiepolitik der IEA-Länder, Prüfung 2013, Deutschland, Zusammenfassung, International Energy Agency (IEA), April 2013 |
| IEA4 | World Energy Outlook 2013, International Energy Agency (IEA), November 2013 |
| IFNE | Langfristszenarien und Strategien für den Ausbau der Erneuerbaren Energien in Deutschland bei Berücksichtigung der Entwicklung in Europa und global, study commissioned by BMU as of March 2012 |
| IPCC | Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), WGI AR5, Sept. 2013 |
| ISE1 | Christoph Kost, Dr. Thomas Schlegel; Levelized Cost of Electricity Renewable Energies, study conducted by the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE, December 2010 |
| ISE2 | Kiefer K, Dirnberger D, Müller B, Heydenreich W, Kröger-Vodde A. A Degradation Analysis of PV Power Plants. 25th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition, Valencia, 2010. |
| ISE3 | Brochures on the PV ENERGY WORLD special exhibit at Intersolar Europe 2011, Solar Promotion GmbH (ed.), Munich, Juni 2011, http://www.intersolar.de/fileadmin/Intersolar_Europe/Besucher_Service/ISE2011_PV_Energy_World.pdf |
| ISE4 | Bruno Burger, Electricity production from solar and wind in Germany 2014, http://www.ise.fraunhofer.de/en/renewable-energy-data , study conducted by the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE. |
| ISE5 | Hans-Martin Henning, Andreas Palzer; 100 % Erneuerbare Energien für Strom und Wärme in Deutschland; study conducted by the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE, November 2012 |
| ISE6 | Fire Protection in Photovoltaic Systems – Facts replace Fiction – Results of Expert Workshop, press release by Fraunhofer ISE, February 7, 2013; more information on fire protection can be found at www.pvbrandsicherheit.de |
| ISE7 | Speicherstudie 2013 - Kurzgutachten zur Abschätzung und Einordnung energiewirtschaftlicher, ökonomischer und anderer Effekte bei Förderung von objektgebunden elektrochemischen Speichern, Studie des Fraunhofer-Instituts für Solare Energiesysteme ISE, Januar 2013 |
| ISE8 | Kohlevertrömmung zu Zeiten niedriger Börsenstrompreise, Kurzstudie des Fraunhofer-Instituts für Solare Energiesysteme ISE, August 2013 |
| ISE9 | Kurzstudie zur EEG-Umlage, Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems ISE, April 2015 |

| | |
|-------------|---|
| ISSET1 | Yves-Marie Saint-Drenan et al. "Summenganglinien für Energie 2.0", study conducted by the Institute for Solar Energy Technology (Institut für Solare Energieversorgungstechnik ISET e.V., April 2009 |
| ISSET2 | Rolle der Solarstromerzeugung in zukünftigen Energieversorgungsstrukturen - Welche Wertigkeit hat Solarstrom?, investigation commissioned by the German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety, May 2008 |
| IWES1 | Vorstudie zur Integration großer Anteile Photovoltaik in die elektrische Energieversorgung, study commissioned by the German Solar Industry Association (BSW) and the Fraunhofer Institute for Wind Energy and Energy System Technology IWES, November 2011 |
| IWES2 | Interaktion EE-Strom, Wärme und Verkehr, Studie im Auftrag des Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Energie, Projektleitung Fraunhofer-Institut für Windenergie und Energiesystemtechnik (IWES), September 2015 |
| IWF | How Large Are Global Energy Subsidies? IMF Working Paper by David Coady, Ian Parry, Louis Sears and Baoping Shang, 2015 |
| IZES | Kurzfristige Effekte der PV-Einspeisung auf den Großhandelsstrompreis, Institut für ZukunftsEnergieSysteme (IZES), January 31, 2012 |
| LFU1 | Berechnung von Immissionen beim Brand einer Photovoltaik-Anlage aus Cadmiumtellurid-Modulen, Bavarian Environment Agency (Bayerisches Landesamt für Umwelt), 11-2011 |
| LFU2 | Beurteilung von Kunststoffbränden, Az: 1/7-1515-21294, Bayerisches Landesamt für Umwelt, 1995 |
| LICHT-BLICK | Analyse des Beitrags von Mini-BHKW zur Senkung von CO2-Emissionen und zum Ausgleich von Windenergie, Gutachten zum geplanten »Zuhause-Kraftwerk«, commissioned by LichtBlick AG, LBD-Beratungsgesellschaft mbH, 2009 |
| MWV | Homepage of the Association of the German Petroleum Industry (Mineralölwirtschaftsverband e.V.), as of December 10, 2011 |
| NEP | Netzentwicklungsplan Strom 2013, Zweiter Entwurf der Übertragungsnetzbetreiber, 17.07.2013 |
| ÖKO | EEG-Umlage und die Kosten der Stromversorgung für 2014 – Eine Analyse von Trends, Ursachen und Wechselwirkungen, Kurzstudie im Auftrag von Greenpeace, June 2013 |
| Photon | "Herr Altmaier, so geht's!", study on full supply with solar and wind energy by 2030, Photon, October 2012 |
| Prognos | Bedeutung der internationalen Wasserkraft-Speicherung für die Energiewende, study conducted by Prognos AG and commissioned by the World Energy Council, Germany (Weltenergierat – Deutschland e.V.), October 9, 2012 |
| PVGIS | Photovoltaic Geographical Information System, http://re.jrc.ec.europa.eu/pvgis/apps4/pvest.php |
| PV-Mag | BSW Solar verschläft Aufheben des EEG-Paradoxons, pv magazine Deutsch- |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| | land, Solarpraxis AG, 14 March 2014 |
| Quasch | V. Quaschnig, Solare Unabhängigkeitserklärung, Photovoltaik, Oktober 2012 |
| Roon | S. von Roon, M. Huck, Merit Order des Kraftwerksparks, Research Center for Energy Economics (Forschungsstelle für Energiewirtschaft e.V.), June 2010 |
| RWE | Die Energiewende, Daten und Fakten von RWE Deutschland, October 6, 2012 |
| SFV | P. Hörstmann-Jungemann, R. Doemen, Ist nicht vergüteter Eigenverbrauch von Solarstrom umsatzsteuerpflichtig?, Solarenergie-Förderverein Deutschland e.V., April 2013 |
| Shell | "New Lens Scenarios – A Shift in Perspective for a World in Transition", study commissioned by Royal Dutch Shell, March 2013 |
| SWM | M-Partnerkraft - Das virtuelle Kraftwerk der SWM, Flyer der Stadtwerke München, Januar 2013 |
| TEST | „Immer sparsamer“, Test 1/2012, Stiftung Warentest |
| Trend research | Marktakteure Erneuerbare – Energien – Anlagen in der Stromerzeugung, trend: research institute for trend and market research, August 2011 |
| UBA | Energieziel 2050: 100% Strom aus erneuerbaren Quellen, Federal Environment Agency, July 2010 |
| ÜNB | Zusammenfassung Mittelfristprognose 2016 bis 2020, Informationsplattform der vier deutschen Übertragungsnetzbetreiber (https://www.netztransparenz.de/de/Jahres-Mittelfristprognosen.htm) Oktober 2015 |
| VDMA | "PV-Maschinenbau erreicht 2011 Rekordumsatz, Auftragseingang eingebrochen", German Engineering Federation (Verband Deutscher Maschinen- und Anlagenbau, VDMA), press release April 26, 2012 |
| VFL | Berechnung einer risikoadäquaten Versicherungsprämie zur Deckung der Haftpflichtrisiken, die aus dem Betrieb von Kernkraftwerken resultieren, study conducted by the Versicherungsforen Leipzig and commissioned by the German Renewable Energy Federation (Bundesverband Erneuerbare Energie e.V., BEE), April 1, 2011 |
| VGB | Kraftwerke 2020+, opinion of the Scientific Council of VGB PowerTech e.V., 2010 |
| VIK | VIK Strompreisindex Mittelspannung, Verband der Industriellen Energie- und Kraftwirtschaft e.V., March 2014 |

25. Appendix: Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: Percentage renewable energy in net electricity consumption (final energy) for Germany 2005-2015; data from [BMWi1], [AGEB5], [AGEB6], Press Release BDEW. | 6 |
| Figure 2: Percentage of RE in German gross power consumption and minimum targets set by the German government [BDEW2]. | 7 |
| Figure 3: Average end customer price (net system price) for installed rooftop systems with rated nominal power from 10 - 100 kWp, data from BSW, plotted by PSE AG. | 9 |
| Figure 4: Historical price development of PV modules (PSE AG/Fraunhofer ISE, data from: Strategies Unlimited/Navigant Consulting/EuPD). The straight line shows the price development trend. | 10 |
| Figure 5: Feed-in tariff for PV power as a function of commissioning date, average PV power remuneration for all installed systems [BDEW2], full costs for the fossil-nuclear power production [IFNE], electricity prices from [BMWi1] with some estimates. | 11 |
| Figure 6: PV expansion and total feed-in tariff, (Data from [BMWi1] and annual figures of the German grid operators; 2015 data estimated.) | 13 |
| Figure 7: Pricing on the European Energy Exchange EEX [Roon]. | 14 |
| Figure 8: Influence of RE on the average spot price on the energy exchange (EEX) [BDEW2]. | 15 |
| Figure 9: Development of the average spot electricity price and the calculated differential costs [BDEW2]. | 16 |
| Figure 10: Electricity consumed and EEG surcharge for industry (estimated for 2015) [BDEW24] | 16 |
| Figure 11: Influential parameters and calculating method for the EEG surcharge [ÖKO] | 17 |
| Figure 12: Composition of the EEG surcharge (2014 still based on estimates) [BEE1]. .. | 18 |
| Figure 13: Breakdown of the EEG surcharge in 2014, excluding external costs [BEE1]. | 19 |
| Figure 14: Development of the EEG surcharge and the EEG differential costs [ISE9]. | 20 |
| Figure 15: Estimate of a "conventional energy tariff" using the additional costs of conventional energy sources 2012 as a basis [FÖS2] | 23 |
| Figure 16: Price of CO ₂ allowances from 2008 - 2013 on the EEX spot market. | 23 |
| Figure 17: An example showing components making up the domestic electricity price of 29 €-cts/kWh in 2014 (CHP: German Combined Heat and Power Act; German Electricity Grid Access Ordinance (Strom-NEV): easing the burden on energy-intensive industries; concession fee: fee for using public transmission lines). | 25 |
| Figure 18: Development of gross domestic electricity prices, net electricity prices for large-scale industrial consumers [BMWi1] and the EEG surcharge; half of the gross domestic electricity prices are made up of taxes and fees. | 26 |
| Figure 19: VIK electricity price index for medium-voltage customers [VIK]. | 27 |
| Figure 20: Monthly power export and import balances in 2014. [ISE4]. | 28 |
| Figure 21: Rough estimate of levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) for PV power plants at different annual irradiances. | 29 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 22: Division of ownership of the total installed capacity of PV plants at the end of 2010 [trend:research]..... | 34 |
| Figure 23: Concept for a virtual power plant of the Stadtwerke München (Munich municipal works) [SWM] | 34 |
| Figure 24: Germany's expenditure on energy research, Data from [BMW1]. | 35 |
| Figure 25: New funding volumes approved for PV research, (2011 figures include funding for the Innovation Alliance program) [BMU3]..... | 35 |
| Figure 26: Left: Schema of PV power feed-in [BSW], Right: Installed PV power categorized by system size (as of Dec. 2012) (Data up to 2008 from transmission system operators (TSO), 2009: Bundesnetzagentur (German Federal Network Agency); Data compiled by PSE/Fraunhofer ISE 2013..... | 36 |
| Figure 27: Actual and predicted hourly generation of power in 2014 [ISE4]..... | 37 |
| Figure 28: Average hourly amount of solar and wind energy fed into the grid in 2014 [ISE4]. | 38 |
| Figure 29: Monthly production of PV and wind power for 2011 - 2014 [ISE4]. | 39 |
| Figure 30: Example showing course of electricity trading price, conventional and renewable electricity in the 12th calendar week (March 2013)..... | 41 |
| Figure 31: Development of the mean efficiency of PV modules, based on mono and multi-crystalline solar cells, according to year of market introduction. Data from Photon International 2014-02. | 43 |
| Figure 32: Vehicle range for an annual yield of 1 a = 100 m ² of energy crops (2,3) or 40 m ² of elevated PV modules constructed on 100 m ² of flat open ground, Sources: Photon, April 2007 (1) and Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe (2), (3)..... | 44 |
| Figure 33 : Forecasted hours of full-load operation for renewable energy plants, mean values from 2012-2016..... | 46 |
| Figure 34: Horizontal annual global irradiation in Germany averaged over 1981-2010 | 47 |
| Figure 35: Development of the atmospheric CO ₂ concentration and the mean global temperature change based on the NASA Global Land-Ocean Temperature Index [IEA2]. | 48 |
| Figure 36: Estimate of the atmospheric CO ₂ concentration and the temperature in Antarctica based on ice core data [EPA], CO ₂ concentration for 2013 is included | 49 |
| Figure 37: Primary energy required to generate power from various energy sources [EEBW]..... | 50 |
| Figure 38: Development of annually installed PV capacity for Germany and globally, or Rest of World, (RoW), CAGR stands for the compound annual growth rate. | 51 |
| Figure 39: Simplified diagram of a renewable energy system with the most important grid-connected components for production, conversion, storage and consumption; ICT: information and communications technology; dotted lines: very low outputs/capacities currently available. | 54 |
| Figure 40: Scenario "2011 A" for the expansion of RE capacity, data from [IFNE]..... | 55 |
| Figure 41: Scenario for Germany's energy system, diagram of the system's structure [ISE5]. | 56 |
| Figure 42: Scenarios for the share of various energy sources in power production in Germany [ISE3]. | 57 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 43: Primary energy consumption of various sources of power [Shell]..... | 57 |
| Figure 44: 2013 Energy flow diagram for the Federal Republic of Germany in petajoules (preliminary values) [AGEB2]..... | 58 |
| Figure 45: Germany's dependence on the import of raw energy materials 2011 | 59 |
| Figure 46: Cost development for the provision of primary energy in Germany [BMWi]59 | |
| Figure 47: Composition of primary energy consumption in Germany 2013, figures given as percentages (data for previous year in brackets) and are preliminary estimates totaling 13,908 petajoules [AGEB3]. | 60 |
| Figure 48: Different proportions of the various energy types making up Germany's final energy consumption in 2010 (data for previous year in brackets) [AGEB4]. | 61 |
| Figure 49: Rough estimate of the monthly distribution (annual total = 100 percent) of solar power calculated for Freiburg [PVGIS], wind power [DEWI], heating requirements based on the heating degree days (VDI Guideline 2067 and DIN 4713), energy requirements for domestic hot water production, electricity demand [AGEB1] and fuel requirements [MWV]..... | 62 |
| Figure 50 : Power production in 29th week of 2013, showing the current record value of 24 GW PV power generated on Sunday, July 21 with total nominal power of c. 34.5 GW (Chart: B. Burger, Fraunhofer ISE; Data: European Energy Exchange in Leipzig, EEX) | 63 |
| Figure 51: Average load profile and average monthly PV feed-in profiles in the first half of 2011 [IZES]. | 64 |
| Figure 52: Simulated load profile and power generation based on weather data for a sunny week in May for installed capacities of 50 GW PV and 40 GW wind. Peak powers of 35 GW PV and 21 GW wind are generated respectively. (B. Burger, Fraunhofer ISE) | 64 |
| Figure 53: Yield development throughout the course of a day of PV plants installed in a variety of different ways, calculated using the software PVsol on a predominantly clear July day in Freiburg. | 65 |
| Figure 54: Power plant availability [VGB]..... | 66 |
| Figure 55: Energy consumption of an average household not including hot water production [RWE]..... | 68 |
| Figure 56 : Total power of hydroelectric stations in selected countries, status in 2010 [Prognos]. The capacity given for each of type of power plant differs according to the data source | 70 |
| Figure 57: Percent of on-site consumption in dependence of the battery capacity and PV array power for a single-family home with an annual electricity consumption of 4,700 kWh. [Quasch] | 71 |
| Figure 58: Comparison of the conventional and grid-optimized system operation [ISE7] | 72 |
| Figure 59: Possible ways of converting and storing PV power with indicative data on efficiency values. | 73 |